

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: _____
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: _____
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): _____
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: _____
 - (5) Total fee paid: _____
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid: _____
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: _____
 - (3) Filing Party: _____
 - (4) Date Filed: _____



MACK-CALI[®]
MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION
343 Thornall Street
Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

June 1, 2016

To Our Stockholders:

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (referred to as the "Company," "we," "our" or "us") will be held at the Hyatt Regency Jersey City on the Hudson, Harborside Financial Center, 2 Exchange Place, Jersey City, New Jersey 07302-3901 on Wednesday, June 1, 2016 at 2:00 p.m., local time, for the following purposes:


1. To elect five persons to the Board of Directors of the Company, each to serve a one-year term and until their respective successors are elected and qualified.
2. To consider and vote, on an advisory basis, for the adoption of a resolution approving the compensation of our named executive officers, as such compensation is described under the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and "Executive Compensation" sections of this proxy statement.
3. To consider and vote upon a proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the ensuing year.
4. To consider and vote upon a shareholder proposal, if presented at the Annual Meeting, requesting that the Company adopt a policy that, in the event of a change in control of the Company, would prohibit accelerated vesting of equity awards granted to senior executive officers of the Company, except for partial, pro rata vesting of awards in the event of a termination of employment after a change in control.

The attached Proxy Statement, which forms a part of this Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference, includes information relating to these proposals. Additional purposes of the Annual Meeting are to receive reports of officers (without taking action thereon) and to transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

All stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 5, 2016 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. At least a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company present in person or by proxy is required for a quorum. You may vote electronically through the internet or by telephone. The instructions on your proxy card describe how to use these convenient

services. Of course, if you prefer, you can vote by mail by completing your proxy card and returning it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Gary T. Wagner". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped "G" and "W".

Gary T. Wagner
Chief Legal Officer and Secretary

April 29, 2016
Edison, New Jersey

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS APPRECIATES AND ENCOURAGES YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THE COMPANY'S ANNUAL MEETING. WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOUR SHARES BE REPRESENTED. ACCORDINGLY, PLEASE AUTHORIZE A PROXY TO VOTE YOUR SHARES BY INTERNET, TELEPHONE OR MAIL. IF YOU ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU MAY WITHDRAW YOUR PROXY, IF YOU WISH, AND VOTE IN PERSON. YOUR PROXY IS REVOCABLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROCEDURES SET FORTH IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION

PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY

This Summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this Proxy Statement and does not encompass all the information that you should consider. Please read the Proxy Statement in its entirety before voting.

Business Highlights

During 2015, the Company continued its strategic transformation including the following key accomplishments:

- In September 2015, the Company announced a comprehensive three-year strategic initiative entitled 20/15 (the "20/15 Strategic Plan") as a major step in the transformation of the Company's portfolio;
- The reorganization of the management team in 2015, including the hiring of the new Chief Executive Officer and new President and Chief Operating Officer, the appointment of a Chief Investment Officer and the designation of the Chairman of Roseland as an executive officer of the Company;
- The Company's total stockholder return ("TSR") in 2015 was 26.5%, and the Company's TSR since the appointment of the new management team in June 2015 was above the 80th percentile of the equity office REITs in the FTSE NAREIT Equity Office Index;
- The Company demonstrated improved operating fundamentals, highlighted by leasing and tenant occupancy performance within the consolidated portfolio of stabilized operating commercial properties being approximately 86.2% leased as of December 31, 2015, as compared to 84.2% as of December 31, 2014;
- Quarterly disclosure of the Company's Roseland assets was enhanced by furnishing investors with a new quarterly supplemental information package specific to the Roseland assets and operations;
- The new management team conducted extensive stockholder outreach, including hosting an investor day in September 2015; and
- Roseland was reorganized into a new subsidiary.

Executive Compensation Highlights

Factors Guiding Compensation Decisions

- Stockholder feedback;
- General market pay and governance practices;
- Attracting and retaining key talent;
- Tying compensation to the achievement of key short- and long-term objectives, including the 20/15 Strategic Plan;
- The Company's TSR and leasing occupancy in 2015;
- Aligning management's interests with those of stockholders; and
- Mitigating compensation risk.

Summary of 2015 Program for our Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer

- Total compensation opportunities targeted at levels that are generally comparable to target total compensation levels for the average of the top two named executive officers of the Peer Group REITs (as defined below in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis under the heading "Process for Determining Compensation");
- 75% of ongoing long-term incentive allocated to Performance Share Units ("PSUs") and 25% to Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs");
- PSUs earned based on rigorous performance hurdles and tiered payouts tied to the Company's three year TSR relative to the equity office REITs in the FTSE NAREIT Equity Office Index; and
- One-time stock option grants to each executive of 200,000 time-vesting options with ratable vesting over three years and 200,000 performance-based options with vesting subject to the attainment of a \$25 stock price for thirty (30) consecutive trading days (or approximately a 44% increase from the share price at the time of grant).

2016 Program Updates

- Adopted objective performance metrics for the determination of 2016 bonuses for the named executive officers;
- Long-term incentive plan ("LTIP") awards for all executives, with the majority granted in the form of performance-based awards: 75% for the Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer and Chairman of Roseland, and 60% for other executives;
- Performance-based LTIP awards granted under a new multi-year, performance-based outperformance plan (the "2016 OPP"), under which the full awards will only be earned if, over the three-year performance period, the Company achieves a fifty percent (50%) absolute TSR and if the Company is in the 75th percentile of performance versus the NAREIT Office Index. The Board of Directors designed the 2016 OPP to conform to the highest form of best compensation practices in the industry;
- Time-Based LTIP awards cliff vest at the end of 3 years; and
- Approved target 2016 total compensation packages for all executive officers at levels that are generally comparable to total annual compensation of executive officers performing similar functions at the Peer Group REITs (as defined below in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis under the heading "Process for Determining Compensation").

MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION

343 Thornall Street
Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206

PROXY STATEMENT

General Information

This Proxy Statement is furnished to stockholders of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, a Maryland corporation (the "Company"), in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of the Company (the "Board of Directors") of proxies in the accompanying form for use in voting at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company (the "Annual Meeting") to be held on Wednesday, June 1, 2016 at the Hyatt Regency Jersey City on the Hudson, Harborside Financial Center, 2 Exchange Place, Jersey City, New Jersey 07302-3901, local time, at 2:00 p.m., and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

This Proxy Statement, the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the accompanying proxy card are first being mailed to the Company's stockholders on or about May 2, 2016.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON JUNE 1, 2016.

This Proxy Statement, the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Our Annual Report to Stockholders are available at http://www.mack-cali.com/investors/company_filings/

Solicitation and Voting Procedures

Solicitation. The solicitation of proxies will be conducted by mail, and the Company will bear all attendant costs. These costs will include the expense of preparing and mailing proxy materials for the Annual Meeting and reimbursements paid to brokerage firms and others for their expenses incurred in forwarding solicitation material regarding the Annual Meeting to beneficial owners of the Company's common stock, par value \$.01 per share (the "Common Stock"). The Company intends to use the services of MacKenzie Partners, Inc., 105 Madison Avenue, 14th Floor, New York, New York 10016, in soliciting proxies and, in such event, the Company expects to pay an amount not to exceed \$10,000, plus out-of-pocket expenses, for such services. The Company may conduct further solicitation personally, telephonically, electronically or by facsimile through its officers, directors and regular employees, none of whom would receive additional compensation for assisting with the solicitation.

Householding of Proxy Materials. In accordance with a notice sent previously to beneficial owners holding shares in street name (for example, through a bank, broker or other holder of record) who share a single address with other similar holders, only one Annual Report and Proxy Statement is being sent to that address unless contrary instructions were received from any stockholder at that address. This practice, known as "householding," is designed to reduce printing and postage costs. Any of such beneficial owners may discontinue householding by writing to the address or calling the telephone number provided for such purpose by their holder of record. Any such stockholder may also request prompt delivery of a copy of the Annual Report or Proxy Statement by contacting the Company at (732) 590-1000 or by writing to Gary T. Wagner, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, 343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206. Other beneficial owners holding shares in street name may be able to initiate householding if their holder of record has chosen to offer such service, by following the instructions provided by the record holder.

Voting. Stockholders of record may authorize the proxies named in the enclosed proxy card to vote their shares of Common Stock in the following manner:

- by mail, by marking the enclosed proxy card, signing and dating it, and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided;
- by telephone, by dialing the toll-free telephone number indicated on the proxy card that you received in the mail with this proxy statement, within the United States or Canada, and following the instructions. Stockholders voting by telephone need not return the proxy card; and
- through the internet, by accessing the World Wide Web site indicated on the proxy card that you received in the mail with this proxy statement. Stockholders voting by the internet need not return the proxy card.

Revocability of Proxies. Any proxy given pursuant to this solicitation may be revoked by the person giving it at any time before it is exercised in the same manner in which it was given or by delivering to Gary T. Wagner, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, 343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206, a written notice of revocation or a properly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

Voting Procedure. The presence at the Annual Meeting of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock, represented either in person or by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. The close of business on April 5, 2016 has been fixed as the record date (the "Record Date") for determining the holders of shares of Common Stock entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each share of Common Stock outstanding on the Record Date is entitled to one vote on all matters, and there are no cumulative voting rights. As of the Record Date, there were 89,638,337 shares of Common Stock outstanding. Under Maryland law, stockholders will not have appraisal or similar rights in connection with any proposal set forth in this Proxy Statement.

Stockholder votes will be tabulated by the persons appointed by the Board of Directors to act as inspectors of election for the Annual Meeting. Shares represented by a properly executed and delivered proxy will be voted at the Annual Meeting and, when instructions have been given by the stockholder, will be voted in accordance with those instructions. If a properly executed and delivered proxy does not provide instructions, then the shares represented by that proxy will be voted FOR the election of each of the two nominees for director named below, FOR the advisory approval of executive compensation, FOR the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, and AGAINST the shareholder proposal requesting that the Company adopt a policy that, in the event of a change in control of the Company, would prohibit accelerated vesting of equity awards granted to senior executive officers of the Company, except for partial, pro rata vesting of awards in the event of a termination of employment after a change in control.

If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other holder of record, you will receive instructions from the holder of record that you must follow in order to vote your shares. If your shares are not registered in your own name and you plan to vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting, you should contact your broker or agent to obtain a broker's proxy card and bring it with you to the Annual Meeting in order to vote. Under New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") Rules, the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent auditors, as set forth in Proposal No. 3, is considered a "discretionary" item. This means that brokerage firms may vote in their discretion on Proposal No. 3 on behalf of beneficial owners who have not furnished a properly executed proxy card or delivered voting instructions to their broker at least ten days before the date of the Annual Meeting. In contrast, the election of directors as set forth in Proposal No. 1, the advisory vote to approve executive compensation as set forth in Proposal No. 2, and the shareholder proposal as set forth in Proposal No. 4 are considered non-discretionary items.

This means that brokerage firms that have not received a properly executed proxy card or voting instructions from their clients may not vote on behalf of their clients with respect to Proposal Nos. 1, 2 and 4.

These so called "broker non-votes" will be included in the calculation of the number of votes considered to be present at the meeting for purposes of determining a quorum, but will not be included in the total of votes cast for the election of directors, the advisory vote for approval of executive compensation or the shareholder proposal. Abstentions will be counted as present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum but will have no effect on the outcome of the matters covered by Proposal Nos. 1, 2 and 4.

VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL HOLDERS

Unless otherwise indicated, the following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2015 with respect to each person or group who is known by the Company, in reliance on Schedules 13D and 13G reporting beneficial ownership and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), to beneficially own more than 5% of the Company's outstanding shares of Common Stock. Except as otherwise noted below, all shares of Common Stock are owned beneficially by the individual or group listed with sole voting and/or investment power.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class(%) ⁽¹⁾
The Vanguard Group, Inc. ⁽²⁾	12,622,433	14.1%
FMR LLC ⁽³⁾	10,531,771	11.8%
BlackRock, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	9,711,251	10.9%
The Mack Group ⁽⁵⁾	7,439,432	7.7%
Vanguard Specialized Funds—Vanguard REIT Index Fund ⁽⁶⁾	6,103,329	6.8%

- (1) Unless otherwise noted, the total number of shares outstanding used in calculating this percentage does not include 10,516,844 shares reserved for issuance upon redemption or conversion of outstanding units of limited partnership interest ("Units") in Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership") through which the Company conducts its real estate activities, or 4,221,581 shares reserved for issuance upon the exercise of stock options granted or reserved for possible grant to certain employees and directors of the Company, except in all cases where such Units or stock options are owned by the reporting person or group. This information is as of December 31, 2015.
- (2) Address: 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA, 19355. Share information is furnished in reliance on the Schedule 13G/A dated February 10, 2016 of The Vanguard Group, Inc. ("Vanguard") filed with the SEC, which represents holdings as of December 31, 2015. Based upon information included in the Schedule 13G/A filed by Vanguard, the Company believes that such shares are held for investment advisory clients of Vanguard. This number represents 12,622,433 shares beneficially owned by Vanguard, which includes (i) 246,321 shares for which Vanguard has sole voting power, (ii) 72,550 shares for which Vanguard has shared voting power, (iii) 12,435,901 shares for which Vanguard has sole dispositive power, and (iv) 186,532 shares for which Vanguard has shared dispositive power.
- (3) Address: 245 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02210. Share information is furnished in reliance on the Schedule 13G dated February 9, 2016 of FMR LLC filed with the SEC,

which represents holdings as of December 31, 2015. The 10,531,771 shares owned by FMR LLC includes 2,608,271 shares for which FMR LLC has sole voting power. Abigail P. Johnson is a Director, the Vice Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer and the President of FMR LLC. Members of the Johnson family, including Abigail P. Johnson, are the predominant owners, directly or through trusts, of Series B voting common shares of FMR LLC, representing 49% of the voting power of FMR LLC. The Johnson family group and all other Series B shareholders have entered into a shareholders' voting agreement under which all Series B voting common shares will be voted in accordance with the majority vote of Series B voting common shares. Accordingly, through their ownership of voting common shares and the execution of the shareholders' voting agreement, members of the Johnson family may be deemed, under the Investment Company Act of 1940, to form a controlling group with respect to FMR LLC.

- (4) Address: 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022. Share information is furnished in reliance on the Schedule 13G/A dated January 8, 2016 of Blackrock, Inc. ("Blackrock") filed with the SEC, which represents holdings as of December 31, 2015. Based upon information included in the Schedule 13G/A filed by Blackrock, the Company believes that such shares are held for investment advisory clients of Blackrock. This number represents 9,486,176 shares beneficially owned by Blackrock for which it has sole voting power, 225,075 shares for which it has shared voting power, and 9,711,251 shares for which it has sole dispositive power.
- (5) Address: 343 Thornall Street, Edison, NJ 08837-2206. The Mack Group (which is not a legal entity) is composed of, among others, William L. Mack, the Chairman of the Board of Directors, David S. Mack, a director of the Company, Fredric Mack, a member of the Company's Advisory Board, Earle I. Mack, a former director of the Company, and their immediate family members and related trusts. Share information is furnished in reliance on the Schedule 13G/A dated February 11, 2016 of the Mack Group filed with the SEC, which represents holdings as of January 29, 2016. This number represents 7,439,432 shares for which the Mack Group has shared dispositive and voting power, and includes 7,271,838 common Units, redeemable for shares of Common Stock on a one-for-one basis (each such unit being a "Reported Share"). Furthermore, William L. Mack, a member of the Mack Group, is a trustee of the William and Phyllis Mack Foundation, Inc., a charitable foundation that owns 100,000 Reported Shares. Earle I. Mack, a member of the Mack Group, is a trustee of the Earle I. Mack Foundation, Inc., a charitable foundation that owns 64,500 Reported Shares. Richard Mack and Stephen Mack, members of the Mack Group, are trustees of The Mack 2010 Family Trust II, a trust that owns 770,097 Reported Shares. David S. Mack, a member of the Mack Group, is a trustee of The David and Sondra Mack Foundation, a charitable foundation that owns 225,000 Reported Shares. In addition, Stephen Mack is a trustee of the Stephen Mack and Kelly Mack Family Foundation, a charitable foundation that owns 5,000 Reported Shares. William L. Mack, Richard Mack, David S. Mack and Stephen Mack, pursuant to Rule 13d-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), each have specifically disclaimed beneficial ownership of any Reported Shares owned by such foundations.
- (6) Address: 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA, 19355. Share information is furnished in reliance on the Schedule 13G/A dated February 6, 2016 of Vanguard Specialized Funds—Vanguard REIT Index Fund—23-2834924 ("Vanguard Fund") filed with the SEC, which represents 6,103,329 shares beneficially owned by Vanguard Fund as of December 31, 2015.

PROPOSAL NO. 1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company's articles of restatement divide the Company's Board of Directors into three classes which shall be as nearly equal in number as possible, with the members of each such class serving staggered three-year terms. In May 2014, the Company amended its articles of restatement (as so amended, the "Charter") and Bylaws to de-classify the Board of Directors over a three year period commencing at the annual meeting of stockholders held on May 11, 2015 (the "2015 Annual Meeting"), such that at each annual meeting of stockholders commencing with the 2015 Annual Meeting, the successors to the directors whose term expires at such annual meeting of stockholders will be elected to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders following their election, instead of the third-succeeding annual meeting, and until their successors are elected and qualify. The Board of Directors presently consists of ten members as follows: Class III directors, Alan S. Bernikow and Irvin D. Reid whose terms expire in 2016; Class I directors, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Jonathan Litt, Vincent Tese and Roy J. Zuckerberg, whose terms expire in 2016; and Class II directors, Nathan Gantcher, David S. Mack, William L. Mack and Alan G. Philibosian, whose terms expire in 2017.

On April 25, 2016, Mr. Zuckerberg notified the Company that he would not stand for re-election to the Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting and would retire from the Board of Directors at the conclusion of his current term at the Annual Meeting. Accordingly stockholders will elect five directors at the Annual Meeting. The directors who are elected at the Annual Meeting will serve until the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2017 and until such directors' respective successors are elected or appointed and qualify or until any such director's earlier resignation or removal. The Board of Directors, acting upon the unanimous recommendation of its Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has nominated Alan S. Bernikow and Irvin D. Reid, each a current Class III director, and Kenneth M. Duberstein, Jonathan Litt and Vincent Tese, each a current Class I director, for election as directors at the Annual Meeting, each of whom has agreed to serve if elected. In the event any nominee is unable or unwilling to serve as a director at the time of the Annual Meeting, the proxies may be voted for the balance of those nominees named and for any substitute nominee designated by the present Board of Directors or the proxy holders to fill such vacancy or for the balance of those nominees named without nomination of a substitute, or the size of the Board of Directors may be reduced in accordance with the bylaws of the Company.

It is expected that upon the retirement of Mr. Zuckerberg from the Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting, that the size of the Board of Directors will be reduced from ten to nine members. Accordingly, at the conclusion of the Annual Meeting, the Board of Directors will consist of nine members whose terms expire in 2017.

Alan S. Bernikow, a director nominee, has served as a member of the Board of Directors and as chairman of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors since 2004 and was appointed as Lead Independent Director in March 2014. Previously, Mr. Bernikow served as the Deputy Chief Executive Officer at Deloitte & Touche LLP from 1998 to 2003, where he was responsible for assisting the firm on special projects such as firm mergers and acquisitions, partner affairs and litigation matters. Mr. Bernikow joined Touche Ross, the predecessor firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP, in 1977, prior to which Mr. Bernikow was the National Administrative Partner in Charge for the accounting firm of J.K. Lasser & Company. Mr. Bernikow serves on the board of several public companies. He is currently a member of the board of directors of Revlon, Inc. and Revlon Consumer Products Corporation and is chairman of the audit committee and compensation and stock plan committee of Revlon, Inc. Mr. Bernikow also currently serves as a member of the board of directors and the nominating and corporate governance committee of the Destination XL Group, Inc., formerly the Casual Male Retail Group Inc. Mr. Bernikow is also a member of the board of directors of FCB Financial Holdings, Inc. and is chairman of its audit committee and is a member of its compensation committee. Mr. Bernikow is also a member of the board of directors of UBS Global Asset Management (US) Inc. ("UBS") and

currently serves as chairman of its audit committee, and has also served as a member of the boards of directors of investment funds managed by UBS, including Global High Income Dollar Fund Inc., Insured Municipal Income Fund Inc., Investment Grade Municipal Income Fund Inc., Managed High Yield Plus Fund Inc., and Strategic Global Income Fund, Inc. The foregoing directorships and committee memberships are the only public company or registered investment company directorships and committee memberships currently held by Mr. Bernikow or which Mr. Bernikow held at any time during the past five years. He is a former member of the board of directors and chairman of the audit committee of the FOJP Service Corporation and a member of the board of directors for the United Jewish Appeal—Federation of Jewish Philanthropies of New York, Inc. Mr. Bernikow has a B.B.A. degree from Baruch College and is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants (NYSSCPA). Based on Mr. Bernikow's significant financial and accounting background and thirty (30) years of experience in public accounting, his status as an audit committee financial expert, and his experience serving as a director and audit committee member of several public companies, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Bernikow has the requisite experience, qualifications, attributes and skills necessary to serve as a member of the Board of Directors.

Kenneth M. Duberstein, a director nominee, has served as a member of the Board of Directors since 2005 and has served as a member of the Executive Compensation and Option Committee of the Board of Directors since March 2006. Mr. Duberstein has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Duberstein Group, an independent strategic planning and consulting company, since 1989. In addition, Mr. Duberstein has served as a member of the board of directors of The Boeing Company since 1997, and is also the lead director and the chairman of its governance, organization and nominating committee and member of the compensation committee. Mr. Duberstein has also served as a member of the board of directors of the Travelers Companies, Inc. since 1998, and is also a member of its compensation and investment and capital markets committees and is Chairman of its governance committee. Mr. Duberstein was a director of Dell Inc. from 2011 to 2012 and was a member of its governance and nominating committee. Mr. Duberstein previously served as director of ConocoPhillips from 2002 to 2012 and was a member of its public policy committee and presiding director from 2002 to 2008. Mr. Duberstein previously served as a director of Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) from 1998 to February 2007, and is a former member of the Board of Governors of the National Association of Securities Dealers ("NASD"). Mr. Duberstein also previously served as Chief of Staff to President Ronald Reagan from 1988 to 1989. He also served in the White House as Deputy Chief of Staff in 1987, as well as both the Assistant and the Deputy Assistant to the President for Legislative Affairs from 1981 to 1983. Mr. Duberstein previously served as a member of the board of directors of Collegiate Funding Services, Inc. from 2004 to 2006, and was Chairman of its audit committee and a member of its compensation and nominating and governance committees. The foregoing directorships and committee memberships are the only public company or registered investment company directorships and committee memberships currently held by Mr. Duberstein or which Mr. Duberstein held at any time during the past five years. Mr. Duberstein earned a B.A. degree from Franklin and Marshall College and an M.A. degree from American University. Based on Mr. Duberstein's strategic planning and consulting background, his experience serving as a director of several public companies, and his extensive government, business expertise and NASD experience, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Duberstein has the requisite experience, qualifications, attributes and skills necessary to serve as a member of the Board of Directors.

Jonathan Litt, a director nominee, has served as a member of the Board of Directors since March 2014 and as a member of the Audit Committee since July 2014. Mr. Litt is the portfolio manager of Land & Buildings Investment Management, LLC ("Land & Buildings"), a registered investment advisor specializing in publicly traded real estate and real estate related securities, which he founded in 2008.

Mr. Litt also currently serves as a director for the Children with Dyslexia Scholarship Fund, where he has served since 1998, and Land & Buildings Offshore Fund, Ltd., where he has served since 2008. Prior to founding Land & Buildings, Mr. Litt was Managing Director and Senior Global Real Estate Analyst at Citigroup Inc., where he was responsible for Global Property Investment Strategy and coordinated a 44 person team of research analysts located across 16 countries. Mr. Litt received his bachelor's degree in Economics from Columbia University in 1987 and received his M.B.A. from New York University in 1990. Based on Mr. Litt's experience as a director of various private entities, his lengthy history in the real estate investment industry, and his expertise gained as the founding partner and portfolio manager of Land & Buildings, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Litt has the requisite experience, qualifications, attributes and skills necessary to serve as a member of the Board of Directors.

Irvin D. Reid, a director nominee, has served as a member of the Board of Directors since 1994 and as a member of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors since 1998. During this time, specifically from 1998 through 2002, Dr. Reid served as chairman of the Audit Committee. Dr. Reid has been a member of the board of directors and a member of the audit committee of A. Schulman, Inc. since 2010. Dr. Reid also has served as a member of the board of directors and as a member of both the audit committee and nomination and governance committee of The Pep Boys—Manny, Moe & Jack, from 2007 to 2012. Previously, Dr. Reid served as a member of the board of directors of the Handleman Company from 2003 to 2004 and from 2005 to 2008, and served as a member of the audit committee and the nominating and corporate governance committee of the Handleman Company. The foregoing directorships and committee memberships are the only public company or registered investment company directorships and committee memberships currently held by Dr. Reid or which Dr. Reid held at any time during the past five years. Dr. Reid has served on the Board of the Downtown Economic Development Corporation of the City of Detroit since 1999. Dr. Reid also has served as a member of the board of directors of Fleet Bank, N.A., from 1990 to 2002 and as a member of the Federal Reserve Board of Chicago-Detroit Branch, from 2003 to 2004 and from 2005 to 2008. From 2000 to 2011, Dr. Reid served as a member of The Michigan Economic Development Corporation Board, Executive and Finance Committees. Dr. Reid also previously served on the boards of First Tennessee Bank of Chattanooga and NatWest Bank, New Jersey and as a member of the board and chair of the trust committee of NatWest Bank, USA. Dr. Reid is president emeritus of Wayne State University in Michigan, having served as president from 1997 to 2008. Dr. Reid left the presidency of Wayne State University in 2008 to become inaugural holder of the Eugene Applebaum Chair in Community Engagement and Director for the Forum on Contemporary Issues in Society (FOCIS). Prior to becoming the president of Wayne State University, Dr. Reid served as president of Montclair State University (formerly Montclair State College) in New Jersey from 1989 to 1997, and held positions of dean, School of Business Administration, and John Stagmaier Professor of Economics and Business Administration at the University of Tennessee at Chattanooga. Dr. Reid received his B.S. degree and M.S. degree in general and experimental psychology from Howard University. He earned his M.A. and Ph.D. degrees in business and applied economics from The Wharton School of Business and Finance at the University of Pennsylvania. Based on Dr. Reid's familiarity with the Company as a long-standing member of the Company's Board of Directors and his experience as a director of several public and private companies, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors concluded that Dr. Reid has the requisite experience, qualifications, attributes and skills necessary to serve as a member of the Board of Directors.

Vincent Tese, a director nominee, has served as a member of the Board of Directors since 1997, has served as chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors since 2000, and has served as a member of the Executive Compensation and Option Committee of the Board of Directors since 1998, and served as chairman of said committee from 2000 until 2004. Mr. Tese served as New York State Superintendent of Banks from 1983 to 1985, chairman

and chief executive officer of the Urban Development Corporation from 1985 to 1994, director of economic development for New York State from 1987 to 1994 and commissioner and vice chairman of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey from 1991 to 1995. Mr. Tese also served as a partner in the law firm of Tese & Tese, a partner in the Sinclair Group, a commodities trading and investment management company, and a co-founder of Cross Country Cable TV. Mr. Tese is the former chairman of Cross Country Wireless. He currently serves as a member of the board of directors of Cablevision Systems Corporation, is chairman of its compensation committee and a member of its audit committee. Mr. Tese also serves as a member of the board of directors of Madison Square Garden, Inc., is chairman of its audit committee and a member of its compensation committee. Mr. Tese also serves as a member of the board of directors of Intercontinentalexchange, Inc. and is chairman of its compensation committee. Mr. Tese also serves as executive chairman of FCB Financial Holdings, Inc. Previously, Mr. Tese served as a member of the boards of directors of Bowne & Company, Inc. and Retail Opportunity Investments Corporation. The foregoing directorships and committee memberships are the only public company or registered investment company directorships and committee memberships currently held by Mr. Tese or which Mr. Tese held at any time during the past five years. Mr. Tese is also a member of the boards of directors of New York Racing Association, Inc. and is chairman of Bond Street Holdings LLC and ICE Clear Credit LLC, an affiliate of Intercontinentalexchange, Inc. Mr. Tese also is a trustee of New York University School of Law and New York Presbyterian Hospital and is a member of the hospital's audit committee. Mr. Tese previously served as a member of the board of directors of Custodial Trust Company from 1996 to 2010, Xanboo, Inc. from 2000 to 2010, and Gamco Investors Inc. Et Al. from 2003 to 2007 and of The Bear Stearns Companies Inc. from 1994 to 2008. Mr. Tese has a B.A. degree in accounting from Pace University, a J.D. degree from Brooklyn Law School and a L.L.M. degree in taxation from New York University School of Law. Based on Mr. Tese's familiarity with the Company as a long-standing member of the Board of Directors, his legal and investment management background, and his experience from serving as a director of several public companies, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Tese has the requisite experience, qualifications, attributes and skills necessary to serve as a member of the Board of Directors.

Vote Required and Board of Directors' Recommendation

Assuming a quorum is present, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, either in person or by proxy, is required for the election of a director. For purposes of the election of directors, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the result of the vote. Under the Company's bylaws and Corporate Governance Principles, if, in any uncontested election of directors, a director nominee has a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes cast "for" his or her election, such director nominee shall promptly tender his or her resignation for consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. A vote will be considered "withheld" from a director nominee if a stockholder withholds authority to vote for such director nominee in any proxy granted by such stockholder in accordance with instructions contained in the proxy statement or accompanying proxy card circulated for the meeting of stockholders at which the election of directors is to be held. See "Policies Relating to the Election of Directors."

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF ALL NOMINEES NAMED ABOVE.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Set forth below is certain information as of April 5, 2016, including information with respect to the beneficial ownership of the Company's Common Stock, for (i) the members of the Board of Directors, (ii) the executive officers of the Company and (iii) the directors and executive officers of the Company as a group:

Name and Position	Age	First Elected	Term Expires	Number of Shares(1)(2)	Percent of Shares Outstanding (%) (3)	Percent of Shares Outstanding calculated on a full-diluted basis)(%) (4)
William L. Mack, Chairman of the Board(5)	76	1997	2017	2,482,948(9)	2.70%	2.46%
Mitchell E. Rudin, Chief Executive Officer	62	—	—	219,305(10)	*	*
Michael J. DeMarco, President and Chief Operating Officer	56	—	—	219,305(11)	*	*
Marshall B. Tycher, Chairman of Roseland	61	—	—	152,030(12)	*	*
Anthony Krug, Chief Financial Officer	58	—	—	50,364(13)	*	*
Gary T. Wagner, Chief Legal Officer	56	—	—	22,515(14)	*	*
Ricardo Cardoso, Chief Investment Officer	44	—	—	44,636(15)	*	*
Robert Andrew Marshall, President and COO of Roseland	46	—	—	62,543(16)	*	*
Christopher DeLorenzo, Executive Vice President, Leasing	46	—	—	19,987(17)	*	*
Alan S. Bernikow, Lead Independent Director(6)	75	2004	2016	30,334	*	*
Kenneth M. Duberstein, Director(7)	71	2005	2016	17,557	*	*
Nathan Gantcher, Director(6)(8)	75	1999	2017	45,334(18)	*	*
Jonathan Litt, Director(6)	51	2014	2016	880,200(19)	*	*
David S. Mack, Director(5)	74	2004	2017	2,009,081(20)	2.19%	1.99%
Alan G. Philibosian, Director(7)(8)	62	1997	2017	29,434(21)	*	*
Irvin D. Reid, Director(6)	75	1994	2016	14,850	*	*
Vincent Tese, Director(7)(8)	73	1997	2016	21,951	*	*
Roy J. Zuckerberg, Director(6)	79	1999	2016	57,834	*	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (18 individuals)				6,380,208(22)	6.73%	6.33%

* Beneficial Ownership of less than 1.0% is omitted.

- (1) The limited partners of the Operating Partnership share with the Company, as general partner, in the net income or loss and any distributions of the Operating Partnership. Pursuant to the partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership, common Units are redeemable into shares of Common Stock on a one-for-one basis. Class A 2016 LTIP Units and Class B 2016 LTIP Units (collectively, "LTIP Units") of the Operating Partnership are convertible into common Units on a one-for-one basis upon vesting.
- (2) Except as otherwise noted below, all shares of Common Stock, common Units, LTIP Units (as converted into common Units), vested options, restricted stock units ("RSUs"), performance stock units ("PSUs"), and all restricted Common Stock are owned beneficially by the individual listed with sole voting and/or investment power.

- (3) Assumes redemption or conversion of only the Units in the Operating Partnership beneficially owned by such owner into shares of Common Stock and the exercise of vested options and all restricted Common Stock held only by such owner.
- (4) Assumes redemption or conversion of all outstanding Units in the Operating Partnership into shares of Common Stock and the exercise of all vested options and all restricted Common Stock.
- (5) In connection with the Company's combination with The Mack Company in December 1997, as more fully described under "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Mack Agreement," William L. Mack, Mitchell E. Hersh and Earle I. Mack were appointed to the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Mack Agreement (as defined below), the Company has agreed, for as long as members of the Mack Group maintain at least the "Mack Significant Interest," to nominate Messrs. W. Mack, E. Mack and Hersh (or their successors) for successive terms upon the expiration of each term. See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Mack Agreement." As of January 15, 2004, Earle I. Mack resigned from the Board of Directors and pursuant to the terms of the Mack Agreement, David S. Mack was designated as Earle I. Mack's successor and appointed to the Board of Directors. On May 11, 2015, Mr. Hersh retired from the Board of Directors at the expiration of his term at the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders. The Mack Group has not yet determined whether in future years it will seek to nominate someone for the third board seat to which it is entitled that was vacated in 2015 by Mr. Hersh. The Mack Group elected not to exercise this right in connection with the Annual Meeting. For the definition of "Mack Agreement" and "Mack Significant Interest," see "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Mack Agreement."
- (6) Member of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.
- (7) Member of the Executive Compensation and Option Committee of the Board of Directors.
- (8) Member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors.
- (9) Includes 1,577,017 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the redemption of all of William L. Mack's limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership. Also includes 100,000 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the redemption of all of the limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership held by the William & Phyllis Mack Foundation, Inc., a charitable foundation of which Mr. Mack is a trustee, and 770,097 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the redemption of all of the limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership held by trusts that are members of a Section 13(d) group with Mr. Mack. Pursuant to Rule 13d-4 under the Exchange Act, Mr. Mack has specifically disclaimed beneficial ownership of the shares held by such foundation and trusts.
- (10) Includes 19,319 unvested RSUs, including dividend equivalent RSUs, 57,956 unvested PSUs, including dividend equivalent PSUs, and 142,030 unvested LTIP Units. Excludes 400,000 unvested options that Mr. Rudin is not deemed to beneficially own pursuant to Rule 13d-3(d)(1) of the Exchange Act.
- (11) Includes 19,319 unvested RSUs, including dividend equivalent RSUs, 57,956 unvested PSUs, including dividend equivalent PSUs, and 142,030 unvested LTIP Units. Excludes 400,000 unvested options that Mr. DeMarco is not deemed to beneficially own pursuant to Rule 13d-3(d)(1) of the Exchange Act.
- (12) Includes 142,030 unvested LTIP Units.
- (13) Includes 19,272 unvested LTIP Units.
- (14) Includes 9,636 unvested LTIP Units.
- (15) Includes 9,636 unvested LTIP Units.
- (16) Includes 57,817 unvested LTIP Units.
- (17) Includes 9,636 unvested LTIP Units.

- (18) Includes 2,500 shares of Common Stock held by a partnership and 2,500 shares of Common Stock held by a charitable foundation over which Mr. Gantcher possesses sole or shared dispositive or voting power. Mr. Gantcher disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares owned by such foundation.
- (19) Includes 866,300 shares of Common Stock indirectly beneficially owned by Mr. Litt through Land & Buildings Capital Growth Fund, L.P., L&B Real Estate Opportunity Fund LP, and Land & Buildings Investment Management, LLC, entities which he owns and controls, and vested options to purchase 5,000 shares of Common Stock.
- (20) Includes 1,756,947 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the redemption of all of David S. Mack's limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership, 25,000 shares of Common Stock held by The David and Sondra Mack Foundation (the "Foundation"), of which Mr. Mack is a trustee, and 200,000 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the redemption of all of the limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership held by the Foundation. Pursuant to Rule 13d-4 under the Exchange Act, Mr. Mack has specifically disclaimed beneficial ownership of the shares of Common Stock and limited partnership interests owned by the Foundation.
- (21) Includes 250 shares of Common Stock owned by Mr. Philiposian's family of which Mr. Philiposian disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (22) Includes all restricted Common Stock held by all thirteen executive officers and directors, together with 3,866,051 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the redemption of all of the executive officers' and directors' limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership, including common Units and LTIP Units. Also includes 1,070,097 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the conversion and/or redemption of all of the limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership held by members of the directors' and executive officers' immediate families, trusts or charitable foundations of which they or their wives are trustees or entities over which they possess sole or shared dispositive or voting power. Also includes vested options to purchase 5,000 shares of Common Stock held by directors and executive officers, 115,164 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon vesting of PSUs, and 38,388 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon vesting of RSUs.

Biographical information concerning the director nominees is set forth above under the caption "Proposal No. 1—Election of Directors." Biographical information concerning the remaining directors and executive officers is set forth below.

William L. Mack has served as a member of the Board of Directors since 1997 and as its Chairman since 2000. Prior to December 1997, Mr. Mack served as President and Senior Managing Partner of The Mack Company, where he pioneered the development of large, Class A office properties and helped to increase The Mack Company's portfolio to approximately 20 million square feet. In addition, Mr. Mack is a founder and Chairman of Mack Real Estate Group. He also founded and was the former Chairman of AREA Property Partners (f/k/a Apollo Real Estate Advisors, L.P.) Mr. Mack currently serves as a member of the board of directors of Hudson's Bay Company, a company listed on the Toronto stock exchange. Mr. Mack also currently serves as a member of the board of directors of FCB Financial Holdings, Inc. He previously served as a board member of the Regional Advisory Board of JPMorgan Chase from 1995 to 2013, and as a member of the board of directors of the Retail Opportunity Investments Corporation from 2009 to 2010. The foregoing directorships are the only public company or registered investment company directorships currently held by Mr. Mack or which Mr. Mack held at any time during the past five years. Previously, Mr. Mack served as a member of the boards of directors of City and Suburban Financial Corporation from 1988 to 2007, The Bear Stearns Companies Inc. from 1997 to 2004, Vail Resorts, Inc. from 1993 to 2004 and Wyndham International, Inc. from 1999 to 2005. Mr. Mack is a Vice Chairman of the North Shore—Long Island Jewish Health System, and Chairman of the Board for the Solomon R. Guggenheim Foundation. He is also Chair of the Board of Overseers of The Wharton School of Business and Finance at the University of Pennsylvania. Mr. Mack attended The Wharton School and has a B.S. degree in business administration, finance and real estate from New York University. Mr. Mack

serves as a member of the Board of Directors pursuant to an agreement with the Company entered into at the time of the Company's combination with The Mack Company in December 1997. See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Mack Agreement." Mr. Mack is the brother of David S. Mack. Based on Mr. Mack's oversight of the Company's growth and development since his appointment as Chairman of the Board in 2000, his years of experience with The Mack Company and his extensive knowledge and expertise of commercial real estate markets and office REIT operations through over forty (40) years of experience, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Mack has the requisite experience, qualifications, attributes and skills necessary to serve as a member of the Board of Directors.

Mitchell E. Rudin has served as Chief Executive Officer since June 2015. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Rudin served as the president and chief executive officer of U.S. Commercial Operations at Brookfield Office Properties from 2011 to June 2014, where he was responsible for a portfolio in excess of 50 million square feet in eight major markets in the U.S. Prior to that, Mr. Rudin served in several executive capacities for CBRE, Inc. (and its predecessor) from 1989 to 2011, including as president and chief executive officer of the New York Tri-State Region for CBRE where he oversaw the business and operating functions within CBRE's largest region, and prior to that as president of brokerage services for CBRE where he oversaw its tenant and landlord brokerage operations for office, retail, and industrial leasing throughout the United States. As Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Rudin, together with Mr. DeMarco, is responsible for the strategic direction of the Company. Mr. Rudin also serves as a member of The Real Estate Roundtable, St. Francis Friends of the Poor Board, is governor of Urban Land Institute, and board member of American Friends of the Israel Museum, the NYC Police Foundation and the Police Athletic League. Mr. Rudin has a Juris Doctor from Boston College Law School and is a Phi Beta Kappa graduate of Franklin & Marshall College.

Michael J. DeMarco has served as President and Chief Operating Officer since June 2015. Prior to joining the Company, from 2013 to June 2015 Mr. DeMarco served as the chief investment officer of CCRE, a non-bank finance company and one the largest originators of CMBS. Mr. DeMarco previously served as an executive vice president at Vornado Realty Trust from 2010 to 2013, as a managing director at Fortress Investment Group from 2007 to 2010, and as a senior managing director at Lehman Brothers from 1993 to 2007. As President and Chief Operating Officer, Mr. DeMarco, together with Mr. Rudin, is responsible for the strategic direction of the Company. Mr. DeMarco also is a member of the board of trustees of NAIOP NJ and Saint Peter's Preparatory School, as well as a member of Urban Land Institute and The International Council of Shopping Centers (ICSC). Mr. DeMarco graduated from the University of Chicago with a Master of Business Administration in Finance, as well as Pace University with a Bachelor in Business Administration in Accounting and a minor in History. He is also a certified public accountant.

Marshall B. Tycher serves as Chairman of the Company's Roseland Residential Trust ("Roseland") subsidiary and previously served as President of Roseland from October 2012 to February 2016. Mr. Tycher co-founded Roseland in 1992 and served as its President until its acquisition by the Company in October 2012. Prior to co-founding Roseland, Mr. Tycher served in various capacities with Lincoln Property Company from 1979 to 1992, including as Texas operating partner from 1981 to 1987, and as head of Lincoln Northeast Residential, Inc. from 1987 to 1992. Mr. Tycher received a BSBA from the University of Denver, a Juris Doctorate from Southern Methodist University and is a member of the Texas State Bar.

Anthony Krug has served as Chief Financial Officer since May 2014, as Chief Accounting Officer from October 2012 to May 2014 and as Senior Vice President, Finance from 2001 to October 2012. As Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Krug is responsible for strategic financial planning and forecasting, financial accounting, reporting and compliance, capital markets activities, investor relations and information technology systems. Mr. Krug has been with the Company and its predecessor companies for over 25 years. Prior to 2001, Mr. Krug held positions with the Company and its predecessors

including Vice President, Finance and Controller. Mr. Krug is a certified public accountant, and is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the New Jersey Society of Certified Public Accountants (NJSCPA). Mr. Krug holds a B.S. degree in business administration from Richard Stockton State College of New Jersey.

Gary T. Wagner has served as Chief Legal Officer and Secretary since May 2014 and as Vice President, Legal from November 2011 to May 2014. As Chief Legal Officer, Mr. Wagner's responsibilities include corporate governance, supervising outside legal counsel, overseeing risk management, ensuring environmental and legal compliance and the preparation of required disclosure documents and legal oversight of all Company lease transactions. Mr. Wagner also works closely with the accounting, property management, and construction departments in connection with tenant-related issues. Mr. Wagner previously worked at the Robert Martin Company from 1989 until its acquisition by the Company in 1997, and has worked for the Company since 1997 and has held positions as assistant general counsel, associate general counsel, and senior associate general counsel. Prior to working for the Robert Martin Company, Mr. Wagner was an associate in the real estate department of Parker Chapin Flattau and Klimpl in New York City. He started his career as an associate in the real estate department in the Philadelphia office of Blank Rome. Mr. Wagner received his Bachelor of Arts in Political Science and Economics from Queens College and his Juris Doctor, cum laude, from Temple University.

Ricardo Cardoso has served as Chief Investment Officer since September 2015 and has served in various capacities with the Company since 1997, most recently as Vice President of acquisitions. Prior to joining the company, Mr. Cardoso worked at the Robert Martin Company from 1994 to 1997. As Chief Investment Officer, Mr. Cardoso is responsible for sourcing new real estate acquisitions and identifying opportunities within the Company's portfolio for asset repositioning or disposition. Mr. Cardoso has a Bachelor of Business Administration in Finance from Iona College and is a member of NAIOP New Jersey and the real estate board of the March of Dimes, New Jersey chapter.

Robert Andrew Marshall has served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Roseland since February 2016. Mr. Marshall previously served in various capacities at Roseland since 2003, including most recently as Chief Operating Officer Vice President of Development before that. Prior to joining Roseland, Mr. Marshall was a senior manager for Ernst & Young's Real Estate Consulting Group from 1999 to 2003 where he specialized in enhancing operational efficiencies for various large developers around the country. As President and Chief Operating Officer of Roseland, Mr. Marshall is responsible for directing the day-to-day operations of Roseland and oversees all of Roseland's multi-family and mixed use development activities. Mr. Marshall is a licensed architect and from 1991 to 1999 practiced architecture for various California-based firms prior to joining Ernst & Young. Mr. Marshall holds a B.A. in Architecture from the University of California, Berkeley and is a public member of the New Jersey Redevelopment Authority.

Christopher DeLorenzo has served as the Executive Vice President, Leasing since September 2015. Mr. DeLorenzo joined the Company in 1998 and has served as an associate director, director, senior director, managing director, vice president, first vice president, and senior vice president of leasing. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. DeLorenzo was a real estate broker for Peter Elliot, LLC from 1996 to 1998, a research analyst at Cushman & Wakefield from 1995 to 1996, and a property tax analyst for the Stark Discovery Group from 1993 to 1995. Mr. DeLorenzo is responsible for the Company's leasing efforts and management of the Company's in-house leasing team of 12 professionals, assigning and managing third party broker agency agreements, and evaluating potential acquisition and disposition opportunities. Mr. DeLorenzo holds a Bachelor of Science in Operations Management from Syracuse University and is a member of both NAIOP New Jersey and the Bergen Community College Foundation Board.

Nathan Gantcher has served as a member of the Board of Directors since 1999, as a member of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors since 1999, and as a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Gantcher also served as a member of the board of directors of Liberty Acquisition Holdings Corp. from 2007 to December 2010, and as a member of its audit, compensation and governance, and nominating committees. From October 2013 through December 2015, Mr. Gantcher served as a member of the board of directors of Cambridge Capital Acquisition Corporation and as a member of its audit and compensation committees. The foregoing directorships and committee memberships are the only public company or registered investment company directorships and committee memberships currently held by Mr. Gantcher or which Mr. Gantcher held at any time during the past five years. Since December 2013, Mr. Gantcher has served as an advisor to Lebanthal Holdings. Mr. Gantcher has served as managing member of EXOP Capital LLC since 2005. Mr. Gantcher previously served as a member of the board of directors of Refco, Inc. from 2004 until 2006 and a member of the board of directors of Neuberger Berman, a NYSE listed company, and served as a member of its audit and compensation committees, from 2001 until 2003. Mr. Gantcher also served as the co-chairman, president and chief executive officer of Alpha Investment Management L.L.C. from 2001 until July 2004. Prior to joining Alpha Investment Management L.L.C., Mr. Gantcher was a private investor from 1999 to 2001. Mr. Gantcher served as vice chairman of CIBC Oppenheimer Corp. from 1997 to 1999. Prior to becoming vice chairman of CIBC Oppenheimer Corp., Mr. Gantcher served as co-chief executive officer and chief operating officer of Oppenheimer & Co., Inc. Mr. Gantcher currently serves as chairman of the board of trustees of Evermore Funds Trust and as chairman of its nominating and governance committee, and as a member of its audit and valuation committees. He previously served as chairman of the board of trustees of Tufts University and currently serves on the board of trustees of Montefiore Medical Center, the board of overseers of the Columbia University Graduate School of Business and the board of overseers of Albert Einstein College of Medicine of Yeshiva University. Mr. Gantcher received his A.B. in economics and biology from Tufts University and his M.B.A. from the Columbia University Graduate School of Business. Based on Mr. Gantcher's familiarity with the Company as a long-standing member of the Company's Board of Directors, his experience as a director with several public companies and his investment banking, management and financial expertise, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Gantcher has the requisite experience, qualifications, attributes and skills necessary to serve as a member of the Board of Directors.

David S. Mack has served as a member of the Board of Directors since 2004 and served as a member of the Company's Advisory Board from 1997 to 2004. Mr. Mack is Vice President and Senior Partner of The Mack Company, where he pioneered the development of large, Class A office properties and helped to increase The Mack Company's portfolio to approximately 20 million square feet. Mr. Mack serves as a member of the Board of Trustees of Northwell Health and Pratt Institute. Mr. Mack also serves as a member of the Board of Trustees of Hofstra University (current and former Vice Chairman) and also serves as Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of MorseLife Health System. Additionally, Mr. Mack serves on the Board of Governors of the Palm Beach Country Club and is Vice Chairman of the Admissions Committee. Mr. Mack also is a member of the Palm Beach Healthcare Foundation and serves on the Board of Trustees of Palm Beach United Way. Mr. Mack is the First Assistant Commissioner of the Nassau County Police Department and the First Vice President of the Palm Beach Police Foundation. Mr. Mack previously served as a member of the Board of Directors and as Vice Chairman of the New York Metropolitan Transportation Authority and on the Board of Directors and as a Commissioner of the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey. In addition, Mr. Mack served for fourteen years as a Deputy Superintendent (Colonel) of the New York State Police. Mr. Mack received his B.A. degree in Business Administration from Hofstra University. Mr. Mack serves as a member of the Board of Directors pursuant to an agreement with the Company entered into at the time of the Company's combination with The Mack Company in December 1997.

See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Mack Agreement." Mr. Mack is the brother of William L. Mack. Based on Mr. Mack's years of experience with The Mack Company and his extensive knowledge and expertise of commercial real estate markets and office REIT operations, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Mack has the requisite experience, qualifications, attributes and skills necessary to serve as a member of the Board of Directors.

Alan G. Philibosian has served as a member of the Board of Directors since 1997 and as a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors since 2000. In addition, Mr. Philibosian has served as a member of the Executive Compensation and Option Committee of the Board of Directors since 1997, and has served as the chairman of said Committee since 2004. Mr. Philibosian is counsel with the law firm of Harwood Lloyd LLC in Hackensack, New Jersey. Mr. Philibosian served as a commissioner of The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey from January 1995 through January 2003. While Commissioner, he served as chairman of the audit and construction committees and vice-chairman of the finance committee. Mr. Philibosian previously served on the board of directors of NorCrown Bank, Livingston, New Jersey, prior to its acquisition by Valley National Bancorp of New Jersey in 2005. Mr. Philibosian graduated Phi Beta Kappa from Rutgers College, and received his J.D. degree from Boston College Law School and his LL.M. degree in taxation from New York University. Based on Mr. Philibosian's familiarity with the Company as a long-standing member of the Company's Board of Directors and his significant legal and financial background, and his experience as a director and his roles on various committees of the Board of Directors, together with his legal and financial background, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors concluded that Mr. Philibosian has the requisite experience, qualifications, attributes and skills necessary to serve as a member of the Board of Directors.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Mack Agreement. In connection with the Company's combination with The Mack Company in December 1997, William L. Mack, Mitchell E. Hersh and Earle I. Mack were appointed to the Company's Board of Directors. Pursuant to an agreement (the "Mack Agreement") entered into between the Company and members of the Mack Group (as defined below) in connection with the Company's combination with The Mack Company, if any of Messrs. W. Mack, D. Mack or Hersh shall withdraw from the Board of Directors for any reason during their terms, the members of the Mack Group are entitled to designate their successors. The "Mack Group" includes William L. Mack, chairman of the Board of Directors, David S. Mack, director, Earle I. Mack, a former director of the Company, and Frederic Mack, a member of the Advisory Board of the Company. Effective January 15, 2004, Earle I. Mack resigned from the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the terms of the Mack Agreement, the Mack Group designated David S. Mack as the successor to Earle I. Mack's seat on the Board of Directors, and effective January 15, 2004, David S. Mack was appointed by the Board of Directors to fill Earle I. Mack's seat on the Board of Directors for the remainder of its term and was re-elected to the Board of Directors both at the 2005 Annual Meeting, the 2008 Annual Meeting, the 2011 Annual Meeting and the 2014 Annual Meeting. In addition, for as long as members of the Mack Group maintain at least the "Mack Significant Interest" (as defined below), the Mack Group has the right to re-nominate, and the Company will support, Messrs. W. Mack and D. Mack (or their successors) for re-election to the Board of Directors for successive terms upon the expiration of each term. The Mack Group has not yet determined whether in future years it will seek to nominate someone for the third board seat to which it is entitled that was vacated in 2015 by Mr. Hersh in connection with his separation from the Company. The Mack Group elected not to exercise this right in connection with the Annual Meeting. "Mack Significant Interest" means legal and beneficial ownership, in the aggregate, of not less than 3,174,603 shares of Common Stock and/or Units by Earle I. Mack, David S. Mack, Frederic Mack and William L. Mack, subject to certain restrictions and to adjustment for stock splits and other customary and similar stock dilutions.

Tax Protection Agreements. The Company may not dispose of or distribute certain of its properties, currently comprised of seven properties with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$57.1 million, which were originally contributed by certain unrelated common unitholders of the Operating Partnership, without the express written consent of such common unitholders except in a manner which does not result in recognition of any built-in-gain (which may result in an income tax liability) or which reimburses the appropriate specific common unitholders for the tax consequences of the recognition of such built-in-gains (collectively, the "Property Lock-Ups"). The aforementioned restrictions do not apply in the event that the Company sells all of its properties or in connection with a sale transaction which the Company's Board of Directors determines is reasonably necessary to satisfy a material monetary default on any unsecured debt, judgment or liability of the Company or to cure any material monetary default on any mortgage secured by a property. The Property Lock-Ups expire periodically through 2016.

Upon the expiration of the Property Lock-Ups, the Company is generally required to use commercially reasonable efforts to prevent any sale, transfer or other disposition of the subject properties from resulting in the recognition of built-in gain to the specific common unitholders, which include members of the Mack Group (which includes William L. Mack, Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors; David S. Mack, director; and Earle I. Mack, a former director), the Robert Martin Group (which includes Robert F. Weinberg, a former director and current member of the Company's Advisory Board), and the Cali Group (which includes John R. Cali, a former director and current member of the Company's Advisory Board). As of December 31, 2015, 110 of the Company's properties, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$1.3 billion, have lapsed restrictions and are subject to these conditions.

Acquisitions and Other Transactions. Certain directors and executive officers of the Company (or members of their immediate families or related trusts) and persons who hold more than 5% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock (or Units in the Operating Partnership) had direct or indirect interests in certain transactions involving the Company, the Operating Partnership or their affiliates in the last fiscal year as follows:

- William L. Mack, Chairman of the Board of Directors, David S. Mack, a director of the Company, and Earle I. Mack, a former director of the Company, are the executive officers, directors and stockholders of a corporation that leases approximately 7,034 square feet at one of the Company's office properties, which is scheduled to expire in May 2018, subject to two, three-year renewal options.. The Company recognized \$204,000 in revenue under this lease for the year ended December 31, 2015, and had no accounts receivable from the corporation as of December 31, 2015.
- In 2015, William L. Mack, Nathan Gantcher, David S. Mack, Alan G. Philibosian, Irvin D. Reid, Vincent Tese, and Roy J. Zuckerberg earned deemed stock dividends, calculated based upon the number of deferred stock units owned by each director as of the record date for each quarterly dividend earned in 2015, in the amounts of 533.250, 859.197, 652.673, 507.934, 728.372, 1,035.998 and 859.197 units, respectively, pursuant to the Director's Deferred Compensation Plan, whereby each non-employee director is entitled to defer all or a specified portion of the annual compensation to be paid to such director. See "Compensation of Directors—Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan" herein below.

Policies and Procedures. The Company has a written policy with respect to the review, approval and ratification of related person transactions. This policy applies to any transaction, arrangement or relationship (including any indebtedness or guarantee of indebtedness), or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships, in which (i) the Company is a participant and (ii) any "related person" (defined as an employee, director, director nominee, an executive officer or someone who owns more than 5% of our common shares, or an immediate family member of any of the

foregoing persons, with certain exceptions) has or will have a direct or indirect interest. Under the policy, the Company's Chief Executive Officer will determine whether a transaction meets the definition of a related person transaction that will require review by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will review all related person transactions referred to them and, based on the relevant facts and circumstances, will decide whether or not to approve such transactions. Only those transactions that are in, or are not inconsistent with, the best interests of the Company and its stockholders will be approved. If the Company becomes aware of an existing related person transaction that was not approved under this policy, the matter will be referred to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and it will evaluate all options available, including ratification, amendment or termination of the transaction.

The Company has determined that, under the policy, the following types of transactions will be deemed to be pre-approved: (i) employment of an executive officer if the related compensation is required to be reported in the Company's proxy statement; (ii) employment of an executive officer if he or she is not an immediate family member of another executive officer or director of the Company, the related compensation would have been reported in the Company's proxy statement if he or she was a "named executive officer" and the Company's Executive Compensation and Option Committee approved (or recommended that the Board approve) such compensation; (iii) compensation paid to a director if the compensation is required to be reported in the Company's proxy statement; (iv) any transaction where the related person's interest arises solely from the ownership of the Company's Common Stock and all holders of the Company's Common Stock received the same benefit on a *pro rata* basis; (v) any transaction in which the rates or charges incurred are subject to governmental regulation; and (vi) any transaction involving bank depository of funds, transfer agent, registrar, trustee under a trust indenture or similar services.

Under the policy, the Chief Executive Officer's determination of whether a transaction meets the definition of a related person transaction is based upon his assessment of the transaction under Item 404 of Regulation S-K without regard to the amounts involved. The Company's policy provides that any related person transaction referred to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for consideration is evaluated based on all of the relevant facts and circumstances available, including (if applicable) but not limited to: (i) the benefits to the Company; (ii) the impact on a director's independence in the event the related person is a director, an immediate family member of a director or an entity in which a director is a partner, shareholder or executive officer; (iii) the availability of other sources for comparable products or services; (iv) the terms of the transaction; and (v) the terms available to unrelated third parties or to employees generally.

The policy prohibits a director from participating in any review, consideration or approval of any related person transaction with respect to which the director or any of his or her immediate family members is the related person. The policy also provides that the only transactions that may be approved are those transactions that are in, or are not inconsistent with, the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Independence of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has adopted the NYSE's standards for determining the independence of its members and believes that it interprets these requirements conservatively. In applying these standards, the Board of Directors considers commercial, industrial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable and familial relationships, among others, in assessing the independence of directors, and must disclose any basis for determining that a relationship is not material. The Board of Directors has determined that eight of ten of its current members, namely Alan S. Bernikow, Nathan Gantcher, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Jonathan Litt, Alan G. Philibosian, Irvin D. Reid, Vincent Tese and Roy J. Zuckerberg, are independent directors within the meaning of such NYSE independence standards in terms of independence from management. In making this determination, the Board of Directors did not exclude from consideration as immaterial any relationship potentially compromising the independence of any of the above directors.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, requires the Company's executive officers, directors and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of the Company's Common Stock to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes of ownership (Forms 3, 4 and 5) of the Common Stock with the SEC and the NYSE. Executive officers, directors and greater than 10% holders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of such forms that they file.

To the Company's knowledge, based solely on the Company's review of the copies of such reports received by the Company, the Company believes that for the fiscal year 2015, its executive officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to such persons.

Board of Directors—Governance Matters

During 2015, the entire Board of Directors met six times. In 2015, no director attended fewer than 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and all committees of the Board of Directors on which he served. The Company does not have a formal policy regarding attendance by members of the Board of Directors at the annual meetings of stockholders, but the Company strongly encourages all members of the Board of Directors to attend its annual meetings and expects such attendance except in the event of exigent circumstances. All of the members of the Board of Directors at the time of the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders (the "2015 Annual Meeting") were in attendance at the 2015 Annual Meeting.

Currently, the Company has separated the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board. The Company believes that at this time the separation of these roles permits the Chairman of the Board to focus on oversight of the Company's long-term corporate development goals while the Chief Executive Officer focuses on the strategic direction of the Company and oversees the day to day performance of the other executive officers in executing the Company's business plan. In addition, on March 11, 2014, the Board of Directors appointed Alan S. Bernikow as its Lead Independent Director. The Lead Independent Director acts as a liaison between the Chairman of the Board and the independent directors and advises the Chairman of the Board with respect to the quality, quantity and timeliness of the flow of information from management as necessary for the independent directors to perform their duties effectively and responsibly, including requesting that certain material be included in materials prepared for the Board of Directors, approving agendas for meetings of the Board of Directors, and ensuring that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items at meetings of the Board of Directors. Stockholders may contact the Lead Independent Director as further described below under the heading "Stockholder Communications," and if requested by significant stockholders, the Lead Independent Director shall be available for consultation. The Board of Directors believes that its Lead Independent Director structure, including the duties and responsibilities described above, provides the same independent leadership, oversight, and benefits for the company and the Board of Directors that would be provided by an independent Chairman of the Board.

The Lead Independent Director also shall preside at all meeting of the Board of Directors at which the Chairman of the Board is not present and all Executive Sessions of the Board of Directors consisting only of non-management directors. Such Executive Sessions will be held at least once per year, periodically as determined by the non-management directors, and will typically occur immediately following the regularly scheduled quarterly meetings of the Board of Directors, or at any other time and place as the Lead Independent Director or non-management directors may determine. Interested parties may submit matters for consideration to the non-management directors by utilizing the procedures identified under "Stockholder Communications" in this Proxy Statement. During 2015, the non-management directors met in Executive Session four times.

Pursuant to authority vested in the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors pursuant to its charter, the Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing the Company's financial risk exposure and the Company's risk assessment and risk management policies and procedures. The Audit Committee discharges its risk oversight responsibilities as part of its quarterly reviews of the Company's quarterly and annual financial statements by discussing with management, the Company's independent auditors and outside legal counsel the Company's risk profile, its financial risk exposure and its risk mitigation policies and procedures. In addition, the Executive Compensation and Option Committee, in consultation with the independent compensation consultant to the Executive Compensation and Option Committee, conducted an annual risk assessment of the Company's compensation programs as described under "Compensation Risk Assessment" in this Proxy Statement. The Company does not believe that the performance of these oversight functions by these committees has any effect on the leadership structure of the Board of Directors.

In December 2009, the Board of Directors adopted equity ownership guidelines that require each non-employee director to own an aggregate of \$200,000 of shares of Common Stock of the Company, units of limited partnership interest of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. redeemable for shares of Common Stock of the Company or units under the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors as of and from the later to occur of (i) January 1, 2013, or (ii) to the extent a director was not a director as of the date the equity ownership guidelines were adopted, the three year anniversary of the date the director is elected to the Board of Directors. In March 2016, the Board of Directors increased the equity ownership guidelines to five (5) times the annual cash retainer paid to directors, currently \$300,000. All directors of the Company are in compliance with the equity ownership guidelines for directors.

In March 2012, the Board of Directors, on the recommendation of its Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, adopted a retirement policy for directors. Pursuant to this policy, the Board of Directors has amended the Company's Corporate Governance Principles to provide that a director may neither stand nor be nominated for re-election to the Board of Directors after attaining the age of 80. The Board of Directors proactively considers the overall size and composition of the Board of Directors and reviews and monitors management development and succession planning activities. The Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer regularly presents management's perspective on business objectives and discusses their perspective on the Company's deep pool of talented employees and succession planning for the Company. Most recently, this process resulted in the designation as executive officers of the Company of Marshall Tycher as President of Roseland in September 2015 and Chairman of Roseland in March 2016, Ricardo Cardoso as Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer in September 2015, Robert Andrew Marshall as President of Roseland in March 2016, and Christopher DeLorenzo as Executive Vice President, Leasing in March 2016.

The Board of Directors believes that continued growth of stockholder value in a socially responsible manner is consistent with the Company's overall strategy to continue to enhance the Company's reputation as a property manager of choice and promotes an environmental strategy that supports "green" building initiatives. The Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") encourages companies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and conserve energy through what is now a voluntary program, Energy Star. In 1999, the EPA introduced its national energy performance rating systems for buildings. The program provides assessment tools to help building managers achieve greater energy efficiency and realize associated cost savings. The Company has been an Energy Star partner since the inception of the program in 1999. As such, the Company is required to, among other things, further track and benchmark its energy performance and broaden its plan to reduce energy intensity across its properties by following the energy management strategy available through Energy Star. In the last three years, fifteen Company properties received Energy Star awards, four properties received Leadership in

Energy and Environmental Design (LEEDs) certifications and eleven properties received environmental awards from the Building Owners and Managers Association (BOMA).

The Board of Directors also has adopted a policy that provides that executive officers, employees, and directors may not acquire securities issued by the Company or any of its affiliates using borrowed funds, may not use margin in respect of securities issued by the Company or any of its affiliates, may not pledge securities issued by the Company or any of its affiliates as collateral, and may not engage in hedging or other transactions with respect to their ownership of securities issued by the Company or its affiliates, each of which the Board of Directors believes would be inconsistent with the purposes and intent of the stock ownership guidelines applicable to directors, the Chief Executive Officer and the President and Chief Operating Officer.

Meetings of Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has three committees: the Audit Committee, the Executive Compensation and Option Committee, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Board of Directors dissolved its Executive Committee in March 2016.

Audit Committee. The Company has an Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The Audit Committee consists of Alan S. Bernikow, chairman, Nathan Gantcher, Jonathan Litt, Irvin D. Reid and Roy J. Zuckerberg. The Audit Committee authorizes and approves the engagement of the Company's independent registered public accountants, reviews with the Company's independent registered public accountants the scope and results of the audit engagement, approves or establishes pre-approval policies for all professional audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the Company's independent registered public accountants, considers the range of audit and non-audit fees, and reviews the adequacy of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, disclosure controls and procedures and internal audit function. The Audit Committee also assists the Board of Directors in overseeing (1) the integrity of the Company's financial statements, (2) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (3) the quarterly evaluation of the performance of the internal audit functions performed by the Company's internal auditors, (4) the Company's independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, and (5) the performance of the Company's independent registered public accountants. See "Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors" below. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee is an "independent" director within the meaning of the NYSE Independence Standards and Rule 10A-3 promulgated by the SEC under the Exchange Act. The Board of Directors also has determined that each of Alan S. Bernikow, Nathan Gantcher, Jonathan Litt, Irvin D. Reid and Roy J. Zuckerberg satisfies applicable financial literacy standards of the NYSE, and that Alan S. Bernikow qualifies as an Audit Committee Financial Expert under applicable SEC Rules. In addition to serving on the Audit Committee, Mr. Bernikow currently serves as a member of the audit committee of three other public companies. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Bernikow's simultaneous service on the audit committees of these other public companies will not impair his ability to effectively serve on the Company's Audit Committee and fulfill his duties as its chairman. The Audit Committee met four times during 2015.

Executive Compensation and Option Committee. The Executive Compensation and Option Committee consists of Alan G. Philibosian, chairman, Kenneth M. Duberstein and Vincent Tese. The Executive Compensation and Option Committee is responsible for implementing the Company's compensation philosophies and objectives, establishing remuneration levels for executive officers of the Company and implementing the Company's incentive programs, including the Company's stock option and incentive plans. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Executive Compensation and Option Committee is an "independent" director within the meaning of the NYSE Independence Standards, Rule 10C-1 promulgated by the SEC under the Exchange Act, and meets the

"outside director" requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the "Code"), and is a "non-employee" director under Rule 16b-3 under Section 16 of the Exchange Act. The Executive Compensation and Option Committee met nine times in 2015.

Pursuant to its charter, the primary purposes of the Executive Compensation and Option Committee are (i) to assist the Board of Directors in discharging its responsibilities in respect of compensation of the Company's executive officers; and (ii) to review and administer the Company's compensation and benefit programs. In addition, pursuant to its charter, the Executive Compensation and Option Committee is responsible for establishing and reviewing annual and long term corporate goals and objectives relevant to compensation of the Company's executive officers in light of performance goals and objectives. The Executive Compensation and Option Committee has sole authority to determine and approve the compensation levels of the executive officers. Except for the delegation of authority to the Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer to grant certain de minimis equity compensation awards to non-executive employees of the Company, the Executive Compensation and Option Committee has not delegated, and does not delegate, any of its responsibilities to any other person. The manner in which the committee discharges its responsibilities is described under the heading "Compensation Discussion & Analysis" below.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of Vincent Tese, chairman, Nathan Gantcher and Alan G. Philibosian. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is an "independent" director within the meaning of the NYSE Independence Standards. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met two times in 2015.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies individuals qualified to become members of the Board of Directors and recommends to the Board of Directors the slate of directors to be nominated at the Annual Meeting. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider recommendations for nominees for directorships submitted by stockholders, provided that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will not entertain stockholder nominations from stockholders who do not meet the eligibility criteria for submission of stockholder proposals under SEC Rule 14a-8 of Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act. Stockholders may submit written recommendations for Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee appointments or recommendations for nominees to the Board of Directors, together with appropriate biographical information and qualifications of such nominees, to the Company's Chief Legal Officer following the same procedures as described in "Stockholder Communications" in this Proxy Statement. In order for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to consider a nominee for directorship submitted by a stockholder, such recommendation must be received by the Chief Legal Officer by the time period set forth in the Company's most recent proxy statement for the submission of stockholder proposals under SEC Rule 14a-8 of Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act. The Chief Legal Officer shall then deliver any such communications to the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee analyzes, on an annual basis, Board member skills and attributes, and recommends to the Board of Directors appropriate individuals for nomination as Board members. Based on the Company's strategic plan, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee developed a skills matrix to assist it in considering the appropriate balance of experience, skills and attributes required of a director and to be represented on the Board as a whole. The skills matrix is periodically reviewed and updated by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates potential Board candidates against the skills matrix.

The skills matrix has two sections—a list of core criteria that every member of the Board should meet and a list of skills and attributes desired to be represented collectively on the Board. The skills matrix reflects the following core director criteria that should be satisfied by each director or nominee:

- Service on no more than six other public company boards;
- High integrity and ethical standards;
- Standing and reputation in the individual's field;
- Risk oversight ability with respect to the particular skills of the individual director;
- Understanding of and experience with complex public companies or like organizations; and
- Ability to work collegially and collaboratively with other directors and management.

The skills matrix reflects the following skills and attributes desired to be represented collectively on the Board as a whole:

- Independence under the Company's Standards for Director Independence and NYSE listing requirements, subject to waiver based on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's business judgment;
- Corporate governance expertise;
- Financial expertise;
- Commercial real estate industry expertise;
- Diversity;
- Legal expertise;
- Capital markets expertise;
- Political/land use/environmental policy expertise; and
- Technology/business process expertise.

Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee strives to maintain a balance of tenure on the Board of Directors. Long-serving directors bring valuable experience with our company and familiarity with the challenges it has faced over the years, while newer directors bring fresh perspective and new ideas.

Although the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not have a formal diversity policy, it endeavors to comprise the Board of Directors and its committees of members with a broad mix of professional and personal backgrounds. Thus, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee accords some weight to the individual professional background and experience of each director. Further, in considering nominations, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee takes into account how a candidate's professional background would fit into the mix of experiences represented by the then-current Board of Directors. When evaluating a nominee's overall qualifications, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not assign specific weights to particular criteria, and no particular criterion is necessarily required of all prospective nominees. In addition to the aforementioned criteria, when evaluating a director for re-nomination to the Board of Directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will also consider the director's history of attendance at board and committee meetings, the director's preparation for and participation in such meetings, and the director's tenure as a member of the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors has determined that enhancing the diversity of the Board of Directors is a priority governance initiative for 2016. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is

considering expanding the size of the Board of Directors and is conducting a search for a diversity candidate to recommend to the Board of Directors. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is assessing whether to rotate the memberships and chair positions of the Audit, Executive Compensation and Option, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees to enhance the diversity of experience on these committees. The goal of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board of Directors is to complete these efforts in 2016, but that will likely not take place before the Annual Meeting.

Available Information

The Board of Directors has adopted written charters for the Audit Committee, the Executive Compensation and Option Committee, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Company makes available free of charge on or through its internet website items related to corporate governance matters, including, among other things, the Company's corporate governance principles, charters of the various committees of the Board of Directors, and the Company's code of business conduct and ethics applicable to all employees, officers and directors. The Company's internet website is www.mack-cali.com. The Company intends to disclose on its internet website any amendments to or waivers from its code of business conduct and ethics as well as any amendments to its corporate governance principles or the charters of the various committees of the Board of Directors. Any stockholder also may obtain copies of these documents, free of charge, by sending a request in writing to: Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, Investor Relations Department, 343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206.

Stockholder Communications

All stockholder communications must (i) be addressed to the Chief Legal Officer of the Company, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, 343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206 or at the Chief Legal Officer's internet e-mail address at chieflegallofficer@mack-cali.com; (ii) be in writing either in print or electronic format; (iii) be signed by the stockholder sending the communication; (iv) indicate whether the communication is intended for a specific director(s), the entire Board of Directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Lead Independent Director, or all non-management directors; (v) if the communication relates to a stockholder proposal or director nominee, identify the number of shares held by the stockholder, the length of time such shares have been held, and the stockholder's intention to hold or dispose of such shares, provided that the Board of Directors and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will not entertain stockholder proposals or stockholder nominations from stockholders who do not meet the eligibility and procedural criteria for submission of shareholder proposals under SEC Rule 14a-8 of Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act; and (vi) if the communication relates to a director nominee being recommended by the stockholder, must include appropriate biographical information of the candidate.

Upon receipt of a stockholder communication that is compliant with the requirements identified above, the Chief Legal Officer shall promptly deliver such communication to the appropriate board or committee member(s) identified by the stockholder as the intended recipient of such communication by forwarding the communication to either the Chairman of the Board of Directors with a copy to the Chief Executive Officer, the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, or the Lead Independent Director or all non-management directors, as the case may be.

The Chief Legal Officer may, in his sole discretion and acting in good faith, provide copies of any such stockholder communication to any one or more directors and executive officers of the Company, except that in processing any stockholder communication addressed to the Lead Independent Director or the Executive Sessions of non-management directors, the Chief Legal Officer may not copy any member of management in forwarding such communication to the Lead Independent Director.

Policies Relating to the Election of Directors

Elections of the Board of Directors are conducted in accordance with the Company's Charter, Bylaws and the laws of the state of Maryland and provide that directors are to be elected at a meeting of the Company's stockholders by a plurality of the votes cast. Under the Company's Bylaws and Corporate Governance Principles, if in any uncontested election of directors, a director nominee has a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes cast "for" his or her election, such director nominee shall promptly tender his or her resignation for consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. A vote will be considered "withheld" from a director nominee if a stockholder withholds authority to vote for such director nominee in any proxy granted by such stockholder in accordance with instructions contained in the proxy statement or accompanying proxy card circulated for the meeting of stockholders at which the election of directors is to be held. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will then promptly evaluate all relevant factors relating to the election results, including, but not limited to: (i) the underlying reasons why a majority of affirmative votes was not received (if ascertainable); (ii) the director's background, experience and qualifications; (iii) the director's length of service on the Board of Directors and contributions to the Company; and (iv) whether the director's service on the Board of Directors is consistent with applicable regulatory requirements, listing standards, the Company's Corporate Governance Principles and the corporate governance guidelines of independent voting advisory services such as Institutional Shareholder Services.

Subject to any applicable legal or regulatory requirements, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee shall, within ninety (90) days from the date of the stockholder vote, decide whether to accept the resignation, reject the resignation or, if appropriate, conditionally reject the resignation and retain the director in office only if the underlying causes of the withheld votes can be promptly and completely cured. A full explanation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's decision will be promptly publicly disclosed in a periodic or current report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Any director who tenders his or her resignation pursuant to this principle and any non-independent director will not participate in the deliberations and decisions made hereunder. In addition, a director shall tender his or her resignation for consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee if such director's principal occupation or business association changes substantially during his or her tenure as a director.

Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, on behalf of the Board of Directors, serves as an independent and objective party to monitor and provide general oversight of the Company's financial accounting and reporting process, selection of critical accounting policies, system of internal control, internal audit function, audit process for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations and the Company's standards of business conduct. The Audit Committee performs these oversight responsibilities in accordance with its charter.

The Company's management has primary responsibility for preparing the Company's financial statements and the Company's financial reporting process, including its system of internal control over financial reporting. The Company's independent registered public accountants, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, are responsible for expressing opinions on the conformity of the Company's 2015 audited financial statements to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. The Audit Committee discussed with the Company's independent registered public accountants the overall scope and plans for its audits. The Audit Committee met with the Company's independent registered public accountants, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

In this context, the Audit Committee hereby reports as follows:

1. The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the fiscal 2015 audited financial statements with the Company's management, including the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company's accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements;
2. The Audit Committee has discussed with the Company's independent registered public accountants the matters required to be discussed by the statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1. AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T;
3. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the Company's independent registered public accountants required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the Company's independent registered public accountants the independent registered public accountants' independence from management and the Company; and
4. Based on the review and discussions referred to in paragraphs (1) through (3) above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors (and the Board of Directors has approved) that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, for filing with the SEC.

The foregoing Audit Committee Report does not constitute soliciting material and shall not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other Company filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Exchange Act, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates this Audit Committee Report by reference therein. Each of the members of the Audit Committee is independent as defined under the standards of the NYSE and the SEC, and meets all other requirements of such exchange and of such rules of the SEC.

AUDIT COMMITTEE
Alan S. Bernikow, Chairman
Nathan Gantcher
Jonathan Litt
Irvin D. Reid
Roy J. Zuckerberg

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Management Team Reorganization

In 2015, the Company completed the most significant transition to its senior management team in its twenty-one (21) year history as a public company. The reorganization of the Company's management team, which occurred over the past three years, included:

- Hired a new Chief Executive Officer, Mitchell E. Rudin, and a new President and Chief Operating Officer, Michael J. DeMarco;
- Appointed Anthony Krug to Chief Financial Officer and Gary T. Wagner to Chief Legal Officer, both executive officers.
- Designated Ricardo Cardoso, Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer, and Christopher DeLorenzo, Executive Vice President, Leasing, as executive officers of the Company;
- Created Roseland Residential Trust, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company to hold all of the Company's multi-family residential assets ("Roseland"); and
- Designated the Chairman of Roseland, Marshall B. Tycher, and the President and Chief Operating Officer of Roseland, Robert Andrew Marshall, as executive officers of the Company.

Our Strategic Transformation

In September 2015, the Company announced a comprehensive three-year strategic initiative entitled 20/15 (the "20/15 Strategic Plan"), the name of which is intended to communicate primary milestone objectives of growing the office portfolio to 20 million square feet and growing the multi-family portfolio to 15,000 units. Key strategic objectives related to the 20/15 Strategic Plan include the following:

- Reduce expenses;
- Exit non-core assets;
- Transition a portion of the Company's property portfolio from almost exclusively commercial office properties to a mix of commercial office properties and multi-family residential properties; and
- Develop and acquire multi-family residential properties in core areas for the Company, including but not limited to the Jersey City Gold Coast waterfront area.

The Company's 20/15 Strategic Plan represents a major step in the transformation of the Company's portfolio. The Company believes that the opportunity to invest in multi-family development properties at higher returns on cost will position the Company to potentially produce higher levels of net operating income than if the Company were to purchase only stabilized multi-family properties at market returns. However, the Company anticipates that it will be some years before most of its multi-family development projects are income-producing.

2015 Business Highlights

Our performance in 2015 demonstrates progress along our transformation plan, as illustrated by the following accomplishments:

- The reorganization of the management team in 2015, including the hiring of the new Chief Executive Officer and new President and Chief Operating Officer, the appointment of a Chief

Investment Officer and the designation of the Chairman of Roseland as an executive officer of the Company;

- The Company's total stockholder return ("TSR") in 2015 was 26.5%, and the Company's TSR since the appointment of the new management team in June 2015 was above the 80th percentile of the equity office REITs in the FTSE NAREIT Equity Office Index;
- The adoption of the 20/15 Strategic Plan described above;
- The Company demonstrated improved operating fundamentals, highlighted by leasing and tenant occupancy performance within the consolidated portfolio of stabilized operating commercial properties being approximately 86.2% leased as of December 31, 2015, as compared to 84.2% as of December 31, 2014;
- Quarterly disclosure of the Company's Roseland assets was enhanced by furnishing investors with a new quarterly supplemental package specific to the Roseland assets and operations;
- The new management team conducted extensive stockholder outreach, including hosting an investor day in September 2015; and
- Roseland was reorganized into a new subsidiary.

Significant 2015 Stockholder Engagement

In evaluating the design of our executive compensation programs, the Executive Compensation and Options Committee (the "Compensation Committee") considers stockholder input. In 2015, our Chairman of the Board, Compensation Committee Chairman, and Lead Independent Director communicated with approximately twenty (20) of the Company's largest institutional stockholders to seek feedback on the Company's executive compensation program and last year's shareholder proposal relating to the treatment of equity compensation awards in a change of control transaction.

Stockholder Feedback—Through the course of this dialogue between stockholders and our directors, stockholders advised the Company that executive compensation should be more closely linked to performance to align executive's interests with stockholders' interests and that executive compensation should be tied to specific performance criteria or formulas.

Changes to our Executive Compensation Program as a Result of Stockholder Engagement—In response to this feedback from stockholders and the negative say-on-pay vote at the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, the Compensation Committee, with the assistance of F.W. Cook & Co., Inc., the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant ("F.W. Cook" or the "Compensation Consultant") took the following actions:

- Established compensation structures more in line with incentive programs and governance policies of the Company's peers and consistent with recognized "best practices" in compensation governance;
- Structured new employment agreements with Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco to reflect these enhanced compensation structures, with reasonable and conservative target pay opportunities;
- In 2015, granted 75% of long-term incentive equity compensation subject to rigorous performance hurdles for Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco;
- Adopted an objective, more quantitative cash bonus program for 2016 and beyond, where earned bonuses will be tied to pre-determined, objective performance criteria designed to support our short-term business goals;
- In 2016, continued to grant the majority of long-term incentive equity compensation subject to rigorous performance hurdles, with 75% of Messrs. Rudin, DeMarco, and Tycher's grants

performance-based, and approximately 60% of Messrs. Krug and Wagner's grants performance-based; and

- Executed new employment or severance agreements that require "double triggers" for severance payments and equity compensation vesting after a change in control.

Executive Compensation Highlights

Factors Guiding Compensation Decisions

- Stockholder feedback;
- General market pay and governance practices;
- Attracting and retaining key talent;
- Tying compensation to the achievement of key short- and long-term objectives, including the 20/15 Strategic Plan;
- The Company's TSR and leasing occupancy in 2015;
- Aligning of management's interests with those of stockholders; and
- Mitigating compensation risk.

Summary of 2015 Program for our Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer

- Total compensation opportunities targeted at levels that are generally comparable to target total compensation levels for the average of the top two named executive officers of the Peer Group REITs (as defined below under the heading "Process for Determining Compensation");
- 75% of ongoing long-term incentive allocated to Performance Share Units ("PSUs") and 25% to Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs");
- PSUs earned based on rigorous performance hurdles and tiered payouts tied to the Company's three year TSR relative to the equity office REITs in the FTSE NAREIT Equity Office Index; and
- One-time stock option grants to each executive of 200,000 time-vesting options with ratable vesting over three years and 200,000 performance-based options with vesting subject to the attainment of a \$25 stock price for thirty (30) consecutive trading days (or approximately a 44% increase from the share price at the time of grant).

2016 Program Updates

- Adopted objective performance metrics for the determination of 2016 bonuses for the named executive officers;
- Long-term incentive plan ("LTIP") awards for all executives, with the majority granted in the form of performance-based awards: 75% for the Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer and Chairman of Roseland, and 60% for other executives;
- Performance-based LTIP awards granted under a new multi-year, performance-based outperformance plan (the "2016 OPP"), under which the full awards will only be earned if, over the three-year performance period, the Company achieves a fifty percent (50%) absolute TSR and if the Company is in the 75th percentile of performance versus the NAREIT Office Index. The Board of Directors designed the 2016 OPP to conform to the highest form of best compensation practices in the industry;
- Time-Based LTIP awards cliff vest at the end of 3 years; and
- Approved target 2016 total compensation packages for all executive officers at levels that are generally comparable to total annual compensation of executive officers performing similar functions at the Peer Group REITs (as defined below under the heading "Process for Determining Compensation").

In addition, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors have committed to adopt, promptly upon effectiveness of final SEC and NYSE rules under Section 954 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, a clawback policy for executive officers.

The Compensation Committee believes that the Company's overall executive compensation program incorporates many compensation elements that are considered best practices, including:

- All of the Company's equity compensation plans prohibit the repricing of underwater options and do not contain any evergreen features;
- No current equity compensation agreements or awards for any executive officers provide for tax gross-up payments;
- Executive perquisites are limited to only a few, de minimis items;
- There are no minimum or guaranteed bonus amounts for any executive officers;
- All severance arrangements with the Company's current executive officers provide reasonable severance benefits, and require a double-trigger for payouts of severance and acceleration of equity in the event of a change of control;
- Employees, officers and directors are prohibited from engaging in any margin, hedging, or pledging activities in respect of the Company's securities; and
- Equity ownership guidelines for the Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer require that they own any combination of an aggregate of 250,000 equity securities of the Company or its subsidiaries, which each of them currently satisfies.

The total annual compensation of Messrs. Rudin, DeMarco, Krug and Wagner for 2015 was set at amounts comparable to the total annual compensation for the executive officers of the Peer Group REITs performing similar functions. Mr. Tycher was designated an executive officer of the Company in 2015 and his compensation will be assessed relative to the Peer Group REITs beginning in 2016.

Compensation Consultant

Role of the Compensation Consultant. In 2014, and again in 2015, the Compensation Committee retained F.W. Cook as its independent Compensation Consultant. The Compensation Committee retains its compensation consultant to assist with structuring the Company's various compensation programs and determining appropriate levels of salary, bonus and other compensatory awards payable to the Company's executive officers and key employees. In 2015, the Compensation Committee retained F.W. Cook to assist on all relevant matters, including assisting with respect to: (i) assessing the Company's and management's performance relative to the Peer Group REITs; (ii) market ranges for salaries, bonuses and incentive compensation payments; (iii) compensation and governance practices relative to ISS and Glass Lewis policy guidelines; (iv) structuring the compensation packages for Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco in connection with their becoming executive officers of the Company in June 2015; (v) structuring annual and long-term incentive compensation plans for management; and (vi) structuring a new employment agreement for Robert Andrew Marshall.

Determination of Compensation Consultant's Objectivity. The Compensation Committee recognizes that it is essential to receive objective advice from its outside compensation consultant. F.W. Cook was engaged by the Compensation Committee to act as an independent outside consultant to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee closely examines the safeguards and steps that F.W. Cook takes to ensure that its executive compensation consulting services are objective. The Compensation Committee takes into consideration that:

- The Compensation Committee hired and has the authority to terminate the engagement of its consultants for executive compensation related services;
- A compensation consultant is engaged by and reports directly to the Compensation Committee for all executive compensation services; and
- A compensation consultant has direct access to members of the Compensation Committee during and between meetings.

F.W. Cook performed only executive, board and other compensation-related services for the Compensation Committee, and did not perform, directly or indirectly through an affiliate, any other services for the Company in 2015. Based on a consideration of factors deemed relevant to the Compensation Committee regarding F.W. Cook, including without limitation the independence factors specified in Section 303A.05 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, including the nature of the services provided, the amount of the compensation consultant's fees, its policies and procedures to prevent conflicts of interest, its business or personal relationships with our directors and executive officers, and its stock ownership in us, the Compensation Committee concluded that F.W. Cook is independent and that the work that they perform for the Compensation Committee has not raised any conflict of interest.

Process for Determining Compensation

Competitive Compensation Analysis in 2015 to Evaluate 2016 Pay Opportunities. F.W. Cook reviewed the peer group used in the prior year's analysis and determined that no changes to the group were necessary or appropriate. The 2015 peer group consisted of the following fifteen office and diversified REITs: Alexandria Real Estate Equities, Inc., BioMed Realty Trust, Brandywine Realty Trust, Columbia Property Trust, Corporate Office Properties Trust, Inc., Cousins Properties, Digital

Realty Trust, Douglas Emmett, Inc., Highwoods Properties, Inc., Hudson Pacific Properties, Kilroy Realty Corporation, Liberty Property Trust, Parkway Properties, Inc., Piedmont Office Realty Trust, and Washington REIT (collectively, the "Peer Group REITs"). The Compensation Committee used this competitive analysis to evaluate the competitiveness of annual bonuses for 2015 and set 2016 target total compensation opportunities for the named executive officers, including the assessment of individual components of compensation.

Determining Bonuses for 2015 Performance. The performance of the Company's named executive officers are evaluated at the end of each fiscal year (in the first quarter of the following fiscal year once all the financial information relative to the prior year has been determined) by the Compensation Committee with assistance from the Compensation Consultant. The Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer are responsible for the strategic direction and long-term planning for the Company and oversee the day to day performance of the other named executive officers. As such, the Compensation Committee believes that the input of the Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer is necessary information for it to evaluate the performance of the other named executive officers and make recommendations for their compensation packages.

Following such performance analysis, the Compensation Committee, with assistance from the Compensation Consultant, and based upon the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer with respect to the other named executive officers, determines the appropriate combination of cash and stock-based compensation to pay to the Company's executives in light of its primary objectives with respect to executive compensation. In determining the appropriate mix of such compensation and the appropriate amounts of any discretionary components, the Compensation Committee considers the Compensation Consultants' competitive analyses of the Company's overall compensation arrangements. The Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer do not participate in the Compensation Committee's discussions of their own compensation.

In light of the reorganization of the management team in 2015 and because the development of the Company's 20/15 Strategic Plan could not be completed until late in 2015 (due in large part because the Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer were not hired until June 2015), the Compensation Committee could not realistically implement specific, performance metrics for bonuses for the named executive officers in 2015. The Compensation Committee has adopted objective, quantifiable performance measures and goals linked to the 20/15 Strategic Plan for its named executive officers for 2016 as further discussed below under the heading "Components of Compensation in 2015—Annual Cash Bonus Compensation."

Accordingly, the Compensation Committee determined 2015 bonuses for the named executive officers using a subjective assessment of performance. In evaluating the performance of the named executive officers in 2015, the Compensation Committee did not utilize specific, rigid performance benchmarks or fixed performance targets, but considered a number of factors, including: (i) the Company's overall performance, including those accomplishments described above under the heading "2015 Business Highlights;" (ii) the named executive officer's responsibilities within the Company and role in achieving the Company's business objectives; and (iii) dynamic market conditions and unforeseen and non-ordinary course events, which in 2015 consisted of the significant management transitions taking place and the adoption of the Company's 20/15 Strategic Plan.

Components of Compensation in 2015

For 2015, the Company's executive compensation program consisted of the following elements: annual base salary, annual cash bonus, awards of restricted stock or RSUs (Messrs. Rudin, DeMarco), awards of performance share units (Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco) and stock option awards (Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco).

The allocation of each component of compensation was determined by the Compensation Committee, based upon its review of the Peer Group REIT data compiled by its Compensation Consultant and input from the Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer with respect to the other named executive officers. Pursuant to the authority vested in the Compensation Committee set forth in its charter, it has complete discretion with respect to the compensation of the named executive officers.

Base Salaries. Base salaries are the fixed component of total compensation, and are established at levels the Compensation Committee deems appropriate for the function each executive officer performs. Base salaries are reviewed annually and with assistance from the Compensation Consultant and may be adjusted upward by the Compensation Committee from time to time. The table below sets forth the base salaries for the named executive officers continuing in office in 2015 and the 2016 base salaries approved by the Compensation Committee in March 2016:

<u>Executive Officer</u>	<u>2015 Base Salary</u>	<u>2016 Base Salary</u>
Mitchell E. Rudin	\$ 700,000	\$ 750,000
Michael J. DeMarco	\$ 700,000	\$ 750,000
Marshall B. Tycher	\$ 400,000	\$ 750,000
Anthony Krug	\$ 385,000	\$ 500,000
Gary T. Wagner	\$ 325,000	\$ 400,000

The base salaries for Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco were increased in 2016 in recognition of significant progress in 2015 in developing and commencing the implementation of the 2015 Strategic Plan and TSR performance since June 2015, when they were hired. Mr. Tycher's base salary, which was based on his legacy employment agreement, was increased for 2016 to create parity of his compensation relative to Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco in recognition of the increasingly important strategic contribution of the Roseland multi-family platform to the Company. The base salaries of Messrs. Krug and Wagner were increased for 2016 to provide for proper internal hierarchy within senior management and in recognition of the experience they have gained and their demonstrated performance in the roles they assumed in early 2014.

Annual Cash Bonus Compensation. The Company's policy of awarding annual cash bonuses is designed to specifically relate executive pay to Company and individual performance and to provide financial rewards for the achievement of substantive Company objectives.

In determining annual bonuses for 2015 for Messrs. Rudin, DeMarco, Tycher, Krug and Wagner, the Compensation Committee considered the factors described above under the heading "Process for Determining Compensation—Determining Bonuses for 2015 Performance," including the Company's overall performance and accomplishments described above under the heading "2015 Business Highlights" and the named executive officer's responsibilities within the Company and role in achieving the Company's business objectives.

The table below sets forth the 2015 annual bonuses for the named executive officers continuing in office in 2015:

<u>Executive Officer</u>	<u>2015 Bonus</u>
Mitchell E. Rudin	\$ 900,000
Michael J. DeMarco	\$ 900,000
Marshall B. Tycher	\$ 2,000,000
Anthony Krug	\$ 300,000
Gary T. Wagner	\$ 150,000

The bonus awards for the named executive officers were based on the same criteria considered by the Compensation Committee in determining base salary increases for 2016. In addition, the Compensation Committee considered the development of the 20/15 Strategic Plan in setting the 2015 bonuses for Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco, which were pro-rated based on their approximate service period with the Company in 2015. The Compensation Committee also considered Mr. Tycher's development of a senior management team at Roseland that will position Roseland for the long-term and the fact that he did not receive any equity awards in 2015 in determining his 2015 bonus. The 2015 bonuses for Messrs. Krug and Wagner were determined in part taking into account the significant increases in base salaries set for them for 2016.

2016 Executive Officer Annual Bonus Program

In March 2016, the Compensation Committee adopted and the Board of Directors approved a more structured annual bonus program for the named executive officers for 2016, which was designed to directly support the Company's short-term goals in furtherance of the 20/15 Strategic Plan.

The Compensation Committee established bonus opportunities for each continuing named executive officer, as follows:

Executive	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Mitchell E. Rudin	50%	100%	200%
Michael J. DeMarco	50%	100%	200%
Marshall B. Tycher	50%	100%	200%
Anthony Krug	20%	40%	80%
Gary T. Wagner	20%	40%	80%

The determination of 2016 bonuses for Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco will be based 90% on Company performance measures and 10% on the Compensation Committee's assessment of individual performance. The determinations of 2016 bonuses for other executive officers of the Company who are not part of the Roseland management team, including Messrs. Krug and Wagner, will be based 75% on Company performance measures and 25% on the Compensation Committee's assessment of individual performance. The 2016 Company performance measures approved by the Compensation Committee and ratified and adopted by the Board of Directors are:

Metric	Weight	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Core FFO (Funds From Operations) per Share	65%	\$ 2.00	\$ 2.04	\$ 2.10
Year-end Percent Leased—Office	25%	88.0%	89.0%	90.0%
Disposition Volume(1)	10%	\$ 400,000,000	\$ 500,000,000	\$ 600,000,000

- (1) Relates to the total sale price of office properties to be disposed of pursuant to the Company's three year strategic plan announced in September 2015 that are completed in 2016.

Performance between threshold and maximum will be determined based on linear interpolation.

The determination of 2016 bonuses for the Roseland management team, including Mr. Tycher, will be based 75% on Roseland performance measures and 25% on the Compensation Committee's

assessment of individual performance. The 2016 Roseland performance measures approved by the Compensation Committee and ratified and adopted by the Board of Directors are:

Metric	Weight	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Multi-Family Development Volume	60%	600 units	675 units	800 units
Year-end Occupancy—Multi-Family(1)	20%	93.0%	94.0%	95.0%
Roseland Equity Raise(2)	20%	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 200,000,000	\$ 300,000,000

- (1) Excludes non-stabilized buildings and units taken out of service for repositioning.
- (2) As part of the Company's three year strategic plan announced in September 2015, the Company will seek to raise capital through the sale of equity interests in Roseland in a private placement to institutional investors or joint venture partners.

Performance between threshold and maximum will be determined based on linear interpolation.

Long-Term Incentives. The Company utilizes long-term incentive compensation in the form of service-based and performance-based equity awards to focus executives on the long-term performance of the Company, to align their interests with those of our stockholders, and to promote the success and enhance the value of the Company. The Compensation Committee, together with the Compensation Consultant, and in response to the negative say-on-pay shareholder vote last year, designed the long-term incentives for the Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer to be more strongly tied to objective, quantifiable long-term performance metrics more in line with current trends and recognized corporate governance "best practices."

2015 Equity Awards

In connection with the new employment agreements with Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco, the Company granted 2015 long-term incentive awards under the Company's stockholder-approved 2013 Incentive Stock Plan (the "2015 LTIP Awards") to each of Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco with a target "face" value (i.e., target shares granted times share price at grant) of \$1,300,000. Twenty-five percent (25%) of the target shares were in the form of RSUs subject to time-based vesting over three years, and seventy-five percent (75%) of the target shares were in the form of PSUs which will vest from 0% to 150% of the target number of PSUs granted based on the Company's TSR relative to equity office REITs in the FTSE NAREIT Equity Office Index. In order for Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco to earn 100% of the target number of shares, the Company's TSR must be at the 60th percentile of the peer group, which is higher than the typical 50th percentile target that most of the Peer Group REITs use for a 100% payout. No shares will be earned if the Company's TSR is below the 40th percentile. The full payout schedule for these PSUs is summarized in the table below:

Performance Level	CLI 3-Year TSR Percentile Rank	Payout (% of target PSUs)
< Threshold	< 40th percentile	0%
Threshold	40th percentile	50%
Target	60th percentile	100%
Maximum	≥ 80th percentile	150%

Payout for performance between threshold and maximum will be linearly interpolated. In addition, notwithstanding the Company's TSR relative to the peer group, the payout of the PSUs will be limited to 100% if the Company's absolute TSR is negative. Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco are entitled to dividend equivalent payments on their respective RSUs and PSUs, but such dividend equivalents shall only vest and become payable to the extent that the underlying RSUs and PSUs vest. The Compensation Committee determined to award a significant portion of the 2015 equity awards in the form of performance-based awards to better align executive and stockholder interests by tying executive performance to TSR.

Pursuant to the employment agreements for Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco, they were each granted options to purchase 400,000 shares of the Company's common stock, exercisable for a period of ten years with an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE on the June 5, 2015 grant date (which price was \$17.31 per share), with 200,000 of such options vesting in three equal annual installments commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date, and 200,000 of such options vesting if the Company's common stock trades at or above \$25.00 per share, or approximately a 44% increase from the share price at the time of grant, for thirty consecutive trading days while the executive is employed, or on or before June 30, 2019 if the executive is employed for the entire term of his employment agreement through December 31, 2018 (except if the executive's employment has been terminated by the Company for cause following expiration of the employment term).

The RSUs, PSUs and stock options awarded to Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco pursuant to their employment agreements were the only equity compensation awards to the named executive officers in 2015.

2016 Equity Awards

In March 2016, the Compensation Committee adopted and the Board of Directors approved the grant of long-term incentive awards to the management teams of the Company and Roseland, including all of the Company's executive officers (the "2016 LTIP Awards"). The design of the program was similar to the design of the RSUs and PSUs granted to Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco in 2015, except participation was expanded to more executives, and the performance-based component was enhanced to measure both absolute and relative performance. All of the 2016 LTIP Awards were in the form of Units in the Operating Partnership ("LTIP Units") and were made under the Company's stockholder approved 2013 Stock Incentive Plan.

For Messrs. Rudin, DeMarco and Tycher, approximately seventy-five percent (75%) of the target 2016 LTIP Award was a performance-based award under the new 2016 OPP (the "2016 PBV LTIP Units"). The remaining approximately twenty-five percent (25%) of the target 2016 LTIP Award for Messrs. Rudin, DeMarco and Tycher was in the form of a time-based award that will vest after three years on March 8, 2019 (the "2016 TBV LTIP Units"). For all other executive officers, approximately sixty percent (60%) of the target 2016 LTIP Award was in the form of 2016 PBV LTIP Units and approximately forty percent (40%) of the target 2016 LTIP Award was in the form of 2016 TBV LTIP Units. As with the 2015 equity awards, the Compensation Committee determined to award a significant portion of the 2016 LTIP Awards in the form of performance-based awards to better align executive and stockholder interests by tying executive performance to TSR.

The 2016 OPP is designed to align the interests of senior management to relative and absolute performance of the Company over a three-year performance period from March 8, 2016 through March 7, 2019. The senior management team that received 2016 LTIP Awards includes all eight of the Company's current executive officers, including all of the named executive officers, and twelve additional senior vice presidents of the Company and Roseland. Participants in the 2016 OPP will only earn the full awards if, over the three-year performance period, the Company achieves a fifty percent (50%) absolute total stockholder return ("TSR") and if the Company is in the 75th percentile of performance versus the NAREIT Office Index. The Board of Directors designed the 2016 OPP to conform to the highest form of best compensation practices in the industry.

Participants in the 2016 OPP, including the Company's executive officers and the management teams of the Company and Roseland, have the opportunity to vest in 2016 PBV LTIP Units that

ultimately may be settled in common stock of the Company according to the following schedules, with linear interpolation for performance between levels:

Performance Level	Absolute TSR (50% of total 2016 PBV LTIP Units)		Relative TSR (50% of total 2016 PBV LTIP Units)	
	Company Absolute 3-Year TSR	Payout as % of Maximum LTIP Units	CLI 3-Year TSR Percentile Rank	Payout as % of Maximum LTIP Units
	< Threshold	< 21%	0%	< 45 th Percentile
Threshold	21%	25%	45 th Percentile	25%
Target	35.5%	62.5%	60 th Percentile	62.5%
Maximum	50%	100%	75 th Percentile	100%

If the designated performance objectives are achieved, 2016 PBV LTIP Units are also subject to time-based vesting requirements, with 50% of vested 2016 PBV LTIP Units vesting at the end of the performance period on March 7, 2019, and the remaining 50% of vested 2016 PBV LTIP Units vesting 25% each on March 7, 2020 and March 7, 2021.

The named executive officers of the Company received the following 2016 LTIP Awards:

Executive Officer	2016 TBV LTIP Units(1)	Maximum 2016 PBV LTIP Units(2)
Mitchell E. Rudin	23,041	118,989
Michael J. DeMarco	23,041	118,989
Marshall B. Tycher	23,041	118,989
Anthony Krug	7,373	11,899
Gary T. Wagner	3,687	5,949

- (1) Calculated based on the grant date fair value of \$21.70, the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on March 7, 2016.
- (2) Calculated based on the maximum number of LTIP Units that may be vested and the average closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange from January 1, 2016 through March 7, 2016 of \$20.17.

LTIP Units were issued on March 8, 2016, but will remain subject to forfeiture depending on the extent that the 2016 LTIP Awards vest. The number of LTIP Units to be issued initially to recipients of the 2016 PBV LTIP Awards is the maximum number of LTIP Units that may be earned under the awards. The number of LTIP Units that actually vest for each award recipient will be determined at the end of the performance measurement period. TSR for the Company and for the Index over the three-year measurement period and other circumstances will determine how many LTIP Units vest for each recipient; if they are fewer than the number issued initially, the balance will be forfeited as of the performance measurement date.

Prior to vesting, recipients of LTIP Units will be entitled to receive per unit distributions equal to one-tenth (10%) of the regular quarterly distributions payable on a common unit of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (a "Common Unit"), but will not be entitled to receive any special distributions. Distributions with respect to the other nine-tenths (90%) of regular quarterly distributions payable on a Common Unit will accrue but shall only become payable upon vesting of the LTIP Unit. After vesting of the 2016 TBV LTIP Units or the end of the measurement period for the 2016 PBV LTIP Units, the number of LTIP Units, both vested and unvested, will be entitled to receive distributions in an amount per unit equal to distributions, both regular and special, payable on a Common Unit.

LTIP Units are designed to qualify as "profits interests" in the Operating Partnership for federal income tax purposes. As a general matter, the profits interests characteristics of the LTIP Units mean that initially they will not be economically equivalent in value to a Common Unit. If and when events specified by applicable tax regulations occur, LTIP Units can over time increase in value up to the point where they are equivalent to Common Units on a one-for-one basis. After LTIP Units are fully vested, and to the extent the special tax rules applicable to profits interests have allowed them to become equivalent in value to Common Units, LTIP Units may be converted on a one-for-one basis into Common Units. Common Units in turn have a one-for-one relationship in value with shares of the Company's common stock, and are redeemable on a one-for-one basis for cash or, at the election of the Company, shares of the Company's common stock.

The 2016 LTIP Awards to Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco are in lieu of, and shall supersede and replace, the long-term incentive plan awards for calendar year 2016 contemplated by paragraph 4(b) of each of their employment agreements with the Company dated June 3, 2015.

Severance and Change-in-Control Payments. We have entered into employment or severance agreements with each of our named executive officers. These benefits are commonly offered among peer companies, and therefore enable us to attract, retain, and motivate key talent. In particular, they ensure the retention of our named executive officers when considering potential transactions which may create uncertainty as to their continued employment. The employment agreements of Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco, and the severance agreements of Messrs. Krug and Wagner, provide for severance payments in the event of involuntary termination without cause or constructive termination for good reason and double trigger severance benefits in the event of a change in control that are generally 1.5 times the sum of annual base salary and target bonus for Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco and Krug and 1.0 times the sum of annual base salary and target bonus for Mr. Wagner. The employment agreement for Mr. Tycher provides for a lump sum severance payment in the amount of \$1,000,000 plus his salary through the end of the then applicable employment term in the event of involuntary termination without cause or constructive termination for good reason or a termination following a change in control. See "Executive Compensation—Employment Contracts; Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" for a summary of the terms and conditions of the severance provisions in the employment agreements of Messrs. Rudin, DeMarco and Tycher and the severance agreements of Messrs. Krug and Wagner.

Benefits and Other Compensation

401(k) Savings Plan. The Company maintains a tax-qualified defined contribution plan for the benefit of all its eligible employees, including the named executive officers. The provisions and features of the plan apply to all participants in the plan, including the named executive officers. Eligible employees may elect to defer from one percent up to 60 percent of their annual compensation on a pre-tax basis to the 401(k) Plan, subject to certain limitations imposed by federal law. The amounts contributed by employees are immediately vested and non-forfeitable. The Company may make discretionary matching or profit sharing contributions to the 401(k) Plan on behalf of eligible participants in any plan year. Participants are always 100 percent vested in their pre-tax contributions and will begin vesting in any matching or profit sharing contributions made on their behalf after two years of service with the Company at a rate of 20 percent per year, becoming 100 percent vested after a total of six years of service with the Company. All contributions are allocated as a percentage of compensation of the eligible participants for the Plan year. The assets of the 401(k) Plan are held in trust and a separate account is established for each participant. A participant may receive a distribution of his or her vested account balance in the 401(k) Plan in a single sum or in installment payments upon his or her termination of service with the Company. In 2015, there were \$970,000 in discretionary matching or profit sharing contributions made by the Company to the plan on behalf of all employees, including \$39,750 on behalf of the named executive officers.

Other Compensation. The Company offers limited perquisites to certain of its executive officers, such as travel and transportation allowances. See note 9 under "Executive Compensation—Summary Compensation Table." The Company does not offer qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans to its executive officers or employees, nor does it offer non-qualified defined contribution plans.

Equity Ownership Guidelines

The Company has Equity Ownership Guidelines for the Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer. The Compensation Committee believes the Equity Ownership Guidelines further align the interests of the Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer with stockholder value and requires each executive to own an aggregate of 250,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock ("Shares") or any derivatives that may be settled in shares of the Company's common stock ("Derivatives"), in any combination of Shares or Derivatives as determined in the sole discretion of the executive. Each of the Chief Executive Officer and President and Chief Operating Officer currently satisfies these ownership guidelines.

Anti-Hedging/Anti-Pledging Policy

The Board of Directors has adopted a policy that provides that executive officers, employees, and directors may not acquire securities issued by the Company or any of its affiliates using borrowed funds, may not use margin in respect of securities issued by the Company or any of its affiliates, may not pledge securities issued by the Company or any of its affiliates as collateral, and may not engage in hedging or other transactions with respect to their ownership of securities issued by the Company or its affiliates, each of which the Board of Directors believes would be inconsistent with the purposes and intent of the stock ownership guidelines applicable to directors, the Chief Executive Officer and the President and Chief Operating Officer.

Compensation of Former President and Chief Executive Officer

On November 4, 2014, the Company entered into a Separation and General Release Agreement with its former President and Chief Executive Officer, Mitchell E. Hersh (the "Separation Agreement"). Mr. Hersh resigned as a Director on May 11, 2015 at the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company on June 3, 2015 in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Separation Agreement. For transition purposes, Mr. Hersh's salary was continued through June 30, 2015 when his employment with the Company terminated. Pursuant to the Separation Agreement, Mr. Hersh was entitled to receive his base salary of \$1,050,000 in 2015, prorated to \$553,269 for his period of service through the June 30, 2015 effective date of his termination.

Beginning in 2014, the Compensation Committee adopted a formulaic bonus program for Mr. Hersh, based on pre-determined performance metrics for annual cash and stock bonus awards. Mr. Hersh's Separation Agreement provided that Mr. Hersh would be entitled to receive a pro-rated annual bonus for the period of time he served as President and Chief Executive Officer in 2015 based on the pre-determined performance metrics established in 2014 that would continue to apply in 2015 as set forth in the tables below.

2015 Former President and Chief Executive Officer Performance Metrics

Metric	Weight	Threshold	Target	Maximum
2015 Absolute TSR	20%	8.0%	10.0%	12.0%
2015 FFO per Share	20%	\$ 1.75(1)	\$ 1.85(1)	\$ 1.95(1)
Multi-Family Same Store Net Operating Income ("NOI") Growth	20%	2.0%	3.0%	4.0%
Ratio of Debt to Undepreciated Assets	20%	40.0%	37.5%	35.0%
Leadership & Strategic Vision(1)	20%		(1)	

(1) Discretionary in the determination of the Compensation Committee.

2015 Former President and Chief Executive Officer Total Bonus Opportunity

Metric	Weight	Threshold	Target	Maximum
2015 Absolute TSR	20%	\$ 263,000	\$ 368,000	\$ 473,000
2015 FFO per Share	20%	\$ 263,000	\$ 368,000	\$ 473,000
Multi-Family Same Store NOI Growth	20%	\$ 263,000	\$ 368,000	\$ 473,000
Ratio of Debt to Undepreciated Assets	20%	\$ 263,000	\$ 368,000	\$ 473,000
Leadership and Strategic Vision(1)	20%	\$ 263,000	\$ 368,000	\$ 473,000
TOTAL:	100%	\$ 1,313,000	\$ 1,838,000	\$ 2,363,000

(1) Discretionary in the determination of the Compensation Committee.

Following Mr. Hersh's departure from the Company, in July 2015 the Compensation Committee assessed the performance of the Company for the first half of 2015 and determined that on an annualized basis, Mr. Hersh would have been entitled to a pro-rated 2015 bonus of \$448,000 payable in 2016. The Compensation Committee determined it was in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders to pay a lump sum cash amount to Mr. Hersh contemporaneously with his termination of service with the Company in lieu of any pro-rated 2015 bonus he may have been entitled to receive at year end. This determination was made primarily as a result of a negotiation with Mr. Hersh and at his request. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee authorized and the Company paid to Mr. Hersh in July 2015 \$250,000 in cash in full satisfaction of any 2015 bonus Mr. Hersh would otherwise have been entitled to receive at year end.

Executive Compensation and Option Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and discussed that Analysis with management. Based on its review and discussions with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 and the Company's proxy statement relating to the Annual Meeting of stockholders to be held on June 1, 2016. This report is provided by the following independent directors, who comprise all of the members of the Compensation Committee:

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND OPTION
COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF
DIRECTORS
Alan G. Philiposian, Chairman
Kenneth M. Duberstein
Vincent Tese

Executive Compensation and Option Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee consists of Alan G. Philibosian, Chairman, Kenneth M. Duberstein and Vincent Tese. No member of the Compensation Committee was at any time in 2015 or at any other time an officer or employee of the Company, and no member had any relationship with the Company requiring disclosure as a related-person transaction in the section "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions." No executive officer of the Company has served on the board of directors or compensation committee of any other entity that has or has had one or more executive officers who served as a member of our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee at any time in 2015.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the compensation of all persons who served as chief executive officer or chief financial officer during 2015, and the three most highly compensated executive officers of the Company other than those persons who served as chief executive officer or chief financial officer in 2015 (collectively, the "Named Executive Officers") for the Company's fiscal years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(\$)	Bonus(\$)	Stock Awards(\$)(6)	Option Awards(\$)(6)	All Other Compensation(\$)	Total (\$)
Mitchell E. Rudin(1) Chief Executive Officer	2015	385,000(4)	900,000	1,088,778(7)	1,224,000(8)	—	3,597,778
Michael J. DeMarco(1) President and Chief Operating Officer	2015	385,000(4)	900,000	1,088,778(7)	1,224,000(8)	—	3,597,778
Marshall B. Tycher(2) Chairman of Roseland	2015	400,000	2,000,000	—	—	13,153(9)	2,413,153
Anthony Krug Chief Financial Officer	2015	385,000	300,000	—	—	14,400(9)	699,400
	2014	325,000	350,000	100,003	—	—	775,003
	2013	300,000	250,000	122,977	—	—	672,977
Gary T. Wagner Chief Legal Officer and Secretary	2015	325,000	150,000	—	—	14,400(9)	489,400
	2014	220,000	200,000	75,019	—	500	495,519
Mitchell E. Hersh(3) Former President and Chief Executive Officer	2015	553,269(5)	250,000	—	—	23,110(9)	826,379
	2014	1,050,000	631,000	—	—	15,865,024	17,546,024
	2013	1,050,000	500,000	1,816,038	—	771,017	4,137,055

- (1) On June 3, 2015, Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco entered into separate employment agreements with the Company commencing their employment as executive officers and employees of the Company as of such date.
- (2) Mr. Tycher was designated an executive officer of the Company in September 2015.
- (3) On November 4, 2014, Mr. Hersh entered into a Separation and General Release Agreement with the Company pursuant to which Mr. Hersh's employment with the Company terminated on June 30, 2015.
- (4) Represents annual base salary from June 3, 2015, the effective date of the employment agreements of Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco.
- (5) Represents base salary paid through June 30, 2015, which is the effective date of Mr. Hersh's termination of employment.
- (6) Amounts shown in these columns represents the grant-date fair value of stock awards and option awards granted to each of the named executive officers during each fiscal year, as calculated in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 ("ASC 718"). For a discussion of the Company's assumptions and accounting treatment of its equity compensation awards, see Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies—Stock Compensation, to the Company's financial statements on page 77 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.
- (7) Pursuant to their employment agreements, on June 5, 2015, Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco were each granted 18,775.27 RSUs and 56,325.82 PSUs. The RSUs vest in three equal, annual installments over a three year period and have a grant date fair value of \$17.31 per RSU, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the grant date. The PSUs were granted at target amount and may vest from 0% to 150% based on the Company's relative total shareholder return versus the equity office REITs in the FTSE NAREIT Equity Office Index for the three year performance period commencing on the grant date and have a grant date fair value of \$13.56 per PSU calculated using the Monte Carlo method. The maximum value of the PSUs granted in 2015 to each of Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco, assuming achievement of the highest level of performance, was \$1,145,667.
- (8) Pursuant to their employment agreements, on June 5, 2015, Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco were each granted options to purchase a total of 400,000 shares of the Company's common stock, exercisable for a period of ten years with an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the grant date of \$17.31 per share, with

200,000 of such options vesting in three equal annual installments commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date ("Time Vesting Options"), and 400,000 of such options vesting if the Company's common stock trades at or above \$25.00 per share for 30 consecutive trading days while the executive is employed ("Price Vesting Options"), or on or before June 30, 2019. The grant date fair value of these options calculated in accordance with ASC 718 is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model for Time Vesting Options and the Monte Carlo method for Price Vesting Options, resulting in a weighted average grant date fair value of \$3.06 per share.

- (9) Includes the following compensation:
- (i) Annual vehicle allowances for Messrs. Tycher, Krug and Wagner in the amounts of \$13,153, \$14,400 and \$14,400, respectively.
 - (ii) Mr. Hersh participated in a security plan of the Company pursuant to his Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with the Company, pursuant to which the Company provided a vehicle for Mr. Hersh's exclusive use for which the Company incurred expenses of approximately \$23,110 in 2015 and for which the Company expensed the full value in 2015.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (#)(1)			All Other Stock Awards—Number of Shares (#)(2)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Shares Underlying Options(#)(3)	Exercise Price of Options(\$)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(\$)
		Threshold	Target	Maximum				
Mitchell E. Rudin Chief Executive Officer	06/05/15	28,163	56,326	84,489	—	—	—	763,778(4)
	06/05/15	—	—	—	18,775	—	—	325,000(5)
	06/05/15	—	—	—	—	400,000	\$ 17.31	1,224,000(6)
Michael J. DeMarco President and Chief Operating Officer	06/05/15	28,163	56,326	84,489	—	—	—	763,778(4)
	06/05/15	—	—	—	18,775	—	—	325,000(5)
	06/05/15	—	—	—	—	400,000	\$ 17.31	1,224,000(6)

- (1) Represents PSUs granted on June 5, 2015 at target amount pursuant to the employment agreements of Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco dated June 5, 2015 as further described in footnote 7 to the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) Represents RSUs granted on June 5, 2015 pursuant to the employment agreements of Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco dated June 5, 2015 as further described in footnote 7 to the Summary Compensation Table.
- (3) Represents stock options granted on June 5, 2015 pursuant to the employment agreements of Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco dated June 5, 2015 as further described in footnote 8 to the Summary Compensation Table.
- (4) PSUs vest from 0% to 150% of the grant date amount of PSUs based on the degree to which a relative total shareholder return target is attained for the three year performance period commencing on the grant date and have a grant date fair value of \$13.56 per PSU calculated using the Monte Carlo method. Dividend equivalents accrue with respect to PSUs that shall only vest when, and to the extent, the underlying PSUs are vested.
- (5) RSUs vest in three equal, annual installments over a three year period and have a grant date fair value of \$17.31 per RSU, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the grant date. Dividend equivalents accrue with respect to RSUs that shall only vest when, and to the extent, the underlying RSUs are vested.
- (6) Time Vesting Options vest in three equal, annual installments over a three year period and Price Vesting Options vest if during the term of executive's employment the Company's common stock trades at or above \$25.00 per share for 30 consecutive trading days on or before June 30, 2019 and have a weighted average grant date fair value of \$3.06 calculated using the Black-Scholes model for Time Vesting Options and the Monte Carlo method for Price Vesting Options.

Outstanding Equity Awards At Fiscal Year-End

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)(4)	
Mitchell E. Rudin Chief Executive Officer	—	400,000(2)	17.31	06/05/2025	—	—	—	—
	—	—	—	—	18,775.27(3)	438,403	—	—
	—	—	—	—	—	—	56,325.82	1,315,208
Michael J. DeMarco President and Chief Operating Officer	—	400,000(2)	17.31	06/05/2025	—	—	—	—
	—	—	—	—	18,775.27(3)	438,403	—	—
	—	—	—	—	—	—	56,325.82	1,315,208
Anthony Krug Chief Financial Officer	—	—	—	—	4,684(5)	109,371	—	—
Gary T. Wagner Chief Legal Officer and Secretary	—	—	—	—	3,527(6)	82,355	—	—

- (1) Market value is based upon a market price of the Common Stock of \$23.35 per share, the closing price of the Common Stock on the NYSE on December 31, 2015, the last trading day of 2015.
- (2) On June 5, 2015, Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco were each granted 400,000 unvested options to purchase Common Stock at an exercise price of \$17.31 per share pursuant to their initial employment agreements with the Company dated June 5, 2015. 200,000 of such options vest in three equal annual installments commencing June 5, 2016. The remaining 200,000 of such options shall vest if the Company's common stock trades at or above \$25.00 per share for thirty (30) consecutive trading days while the executive is employed, or on or before June 30, 2019 if the executive is employed for the entire term of his employment agreement through December 31, 2018 (except if the executive's employment has been terminated by the Company for cause following expiration of the employment term).
- (3) On June 5, 2015, Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco were each granted 18,775.27 RSUs pursuant to their initial employment agreements with the Company dated June 5, 2015. The RSUs vest in three equal annual installments commencing June 5, 2016.
- (4) On June 5, 2015, Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco were each granted 56,325.82 PSUs pursuant to their initial employment agreements with the Company dated June 5, 2015. PSUs vest from 0% to 150% of the grant date amount of PSUs based on the degree to which a relative total shareholder return target is attained for the three year performance period commencing on the grant date.
- (5) On March 19, 2014, Mr. Krug was granted 4,684 shares of restricted Common Stock that will vest on January 15, 2017, subject to Mr. Krug's continued service with the Company through such date.
- (6) On March 18, 2014, Mr. Wagner was granted 3,527 shares of restricted Common Stock that will vest on January 15, 2017, subject to Mr. Wagner's continued service with the Company through such date.

The table above reflects PSUs that may be earned at target that remain subject to performance conditions. Actual performance may vary materially as they may be earned from 0% to 150% of target. The table above also excludes unvested dividend equivalents that have accrued with respect to unvested PSUs and RSUs as described in footnotes 4 and 5 above under "Executive Compensation—Grants of Plan-Based Awards."

Pension Benefits

The Company does not offer qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans to its executive officers or employees.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

The Company does not offer non-qualified defined contribution or other deferred compensation plans to its executive officers or employees.

Employment Contracts; Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The following discussion includes descriptions of the material terms of employment and severance agreements between the Company and Messrs. Rudin, DeMarco, Tycher, Krug and Wagner.

Mitchell E. Rudin and Michael J. DeMarco Employment Agreements. On June 5, 2015, the Company entered into identical employment agreements with Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco (the "Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements") which provide for certain severance payments to Messrs. Rudin or DeMarco, or their respective beneficiaries upon death, disability, termination by the Company without cause, termination by the executive for good reason during the term of his employment or within two years of a change in control.

Under the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements:

- (i) "cause" is defined as:
 - (a) willful and continued failure to use best efforts to substantially perform his duties to the Company (other than any such failure resulting from incapacity due to physical or mental illness) for a period of thirty (30) days after written demand for substantial performance is delivered by the Company specifically identifying the manner in which the Company believes the executive has not substantially performed his duties;
 - (b) material and continued failure to comply with obligations under any agreement between the executive and the Company or any policy of the Company for a period of thirty (30) days after written demand for substantial compliance is delivered by the Company specifically identifying the manner in which the Company believes the executive has not substantially complied;
 - (c) any act of fraud, embezzlement, misappropriation, or misuse of the assets or property of the Company, including any corporate opportunity; or
 - (d) a conviction of or plea of "guilty" or "no contest" to a felony under the laws of the United States or any state thereof.

For purposes of the definition of "cause" under the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements, no act, or failure to act, on his part shall be considered "willful" unless done, or omitted to be done, by him (I) not in good faith and (II) without reasonable belief that his action or omission was in furtherance of the interests of the Company.

- (ii) "disability" is defined as the inability of executive, as a result of any medically determinable physical or mental disease, injury, or congenital condition, to substantially perform his principal duties to the Company, with or without reasonable accommodation, for a continuous period of one hundred and eighty (180) days, or periods aggregating two hundred and seventy (270) days in any twelve (12) month period.
- (iii) "good reason" is defined to mean the occurrence of any of the following circumstances without the express written consent of the executive:
 - (a) the material diminishment of his authority, duties or responsibilities it being understood that during a change in control period (as defined below), good reason shall be deemed to have occurred for Mr. Rudin if he is not the chief executive officer of the ultimate parent following a change in control, and shall be deemed to have occurred for Mr. DeMarco if he is not the president and chief operating officer for the ultimate parent following a change in control;
 - (b) a material reduction in base salary;

- (c) a material change in the geographic location at which the executive must perform the services under the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements;
or
 - (d) the failure of the Company to obtain agreement from any successor to assume and agree to perform the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements.
- (iv) "change in control" is defined as the occurrence of any of the following events:
- (a) any "person" or "group" of persons, as such terms are used in Sections 13 and 14 of the Exchange Act, other than the Company, any of its subsidiaries, or any employee benefit plan sponsored by the Company or any of its subsidiaries, becomes the "beneficial owner" (as such term is defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act) of 30% or more of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the Company immediately prior to such acquisition;
 - (b) any shares of capital stock of the Company are purchased pursuant to a tender or exchange offer, other than an offer by the Company, that results in any "person" or "group" of persons, as such terms are used in Sections 13 and 14 of the Exchange Act becoming the "beneficial owner" (as such term is defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act) of 30% or more of the shares of capital stock of the Company issued and outstanding immediately prior to such tender or exchange offer; or
 - (c) the dissolution or liquidation of the Company or the consummation of any merger or consolidation of the Company or any sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of its assets, if the shareholders of the Company immediately prior to such transaction own, immediately after consummation of such transaction, equity securities (other than options and other rights to acquire equity securities) possessing less than 30% of the voting power of the surviving or acquiring corporation.
- (v) "change in control period" means the period commencing on the earlier of (i) the date that a Change in Control occurs or (ii) the date that the Company enters into a definitive agreement with respect to a transaction, the consummation of which would constitute a Change in Control (provided it is actually consummated), and in either case ending on the second anniversary of the Change in Control.

Under the terms of the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements, upon a termination on account of death or disability, Mr. Rudin or Mr. DeMarco, or their respective beneficiaries in the case of death, will receive a lump sum payment consisting of accrued and unpaid base salary, expense reimbursement and benefits under the Company's health and welfare plans through the termination date, plus a prorated portion of the annual bonus payable for the year of such termination, and accelerated vesting of all equity grants with the vesting of PSUs awards only accelerated based on performance as of the termination date.

Upon a termination without "cause" or by Mr. Rudin or Mr. DeMarco for "good reason" during the term of the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements or thereafter during a "change in control period", subject to the departing executive signing a release, the departing executive will be entitled to the same benefits in the event of a termination due to death or disability, plus a lump sum cash payment equal to one and one-half (1.5) times the sum of (i) the executive's annual base salary immediately prior to the termination date, and (ii) the executive's target bonus for the year during which termination occurs; provided, however, that any such termination that occurs during the term of the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements but not during a "change in control period", then the long-term incentive awards (other than those granted at inception of the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements, which shall vest in full) which are subject to time-vesting shall vest on a pro rata basis based on the number of days of the executive's employment during the applicable annual vesting period through the termination date.

Marshall B. Tycher Employment. Mr. Tycher has served as President of Roseland Residential Services, L.P. since it was acquired by the Company in October 2012 pursuant to his employment agreement with Roseland's predecessor organization dated October 23, 2012 (the "Tycher Employment Agreement"). In connection with the reorganization of Roseland at the end of 2015 and the creation of Roseland Residential Trust, in March 2016 Mr. Tycher was appointed Chairman of Roseland. The Tycher Employment Agreement provides for certain severance payments to Mr. Tycher, or his beneficiaries upon death, disability, termination by the Company without cause, termination by the executive for good reason during the term of his employment or within six months of a change in control. Under the Tycher Employment Agreement, the terms "cause," "disability," "good reason," "change in control" and "change in control period" are substantially the same as defined in the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements.

Under the terms of the Tycher Employment Agreement, upon a termination on account of death or disability, Mr. Tycher, or his beneficiaries in the case of death, will receive a lump sum payment consisting of a fixed amount of \$1,000,000, accrued and unpaid base salary, expense reimbursement and benefits under the Company's health and welfare plans through the unexpired remaining term of the Tycher Employment Agreement, plus any earned but unpaid annual bonus.

Upon a termination without "cause" or by Mr. Tycher for "good reason" during the term of the Tycher Employment Agreement or thereafter during a "change in control period", subject to Mr. Tycher signing a release, Mr. Tycher will be entitled to the same benefits in the event of a termination due to death or disability, plus a lump sum cash payment equal to his remaining annual base salary through the unexpired remaining term of the Tycher Employment Agreement.

Anthony Krug At-Will Employment. On October 10, 2012, the Company appointed Anthony Krug as its Chief Accounting Officer. Effective April 1, 2014, Mr. Krug was appointed Acting Chief Financial Officer, and on May 30, 2014, Mr. Krug was appointed Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Krug serves as an at-will employee and has not entered into an employment agreement with the Company. On March 4, 2015, Mr. Krug entered into a severance agreement with the Company (the "Krug Severance Agreement") that provides for certain severance payments to Mr. Krug or his beneficiaries upon his death, disability or termination by the Company without cause, or if he terminates his employment for good reason at any time on or before December 31, 2016 or within two years of a change in control.

Under the Krug Severance Agreement, the terms "cause," "disability," "good reason," "change in control" and "change in control period" are substantially the same as defined in the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements, except that the continuous and aggregate periods of inability to perform services under the definition of "disability" are ninety (90) and one hundred eighty (180), respectively.

Under the terms of the Krug Severance Agreement, in the event that Mr. Krug's employment is terminated due to his death or disability, Mr. Krug would be entitled to receive:

- (i) all earned but unpaid compensation through the date of termination;
- (ii) a lump sum payment consisting of the pro-rated amount of his target annual bonus for the year in which termination occurs; and
- (iii) accelerated vesting of previously awarded shares of restricted stock and vesting of future equity awards only in accordance with the applicable plan or award agreement.

If Mr. Krug's employment is terminated by the Company without cause at any time, or if Mr. Krug terminates his employment for good reason either on or before December 31, 2016 or within two (2) years of a change in control, then Mr. Krug would be entitled to receive:

- (i) all earned but unpaid compensation through the date of termination;

- (ii) an aggregate, lump sum cash payment equal to the sum of Mr. Krug's base salary immediately prior to the termination date, plus his target annual bonus for the year that includes the termination date, multiplied by one and one-half (1.5);
- (iii) accelerated vesting of previously awarded shares of restricted stock and vesting of future equity awards only in accordance with the applicable plan or award agreement; and
- (iv) the continuation of health insurance coverage for a period of 18 months.

Gary T. Wagner At-Will Employment. Effective April 1, 2014, Mr. Wagner was appointed Acting Chief Legal Officer and Secretary of the Company, and on May 30, 2014, Mr. Wagner was appointed Chief Legal Officer and Secretary. Mr. Wagner serves as an at-will employee and has not entered into an employment agreement with the company. On March 4, 2015, Mr. Wagner entered into a severance agreement with the Company (the "Wagner Severance Agreement") that provides for certain severance payments to Mr. Wagner or his beneficiaries upon his death, disability or termination by the Company without cause, or if he terminates his employment for good reason at any time on or before December 31, 2016 or within two years of a change in control. The terms and conditions of the Wagner Severance Agreement are generally the same as under the Krug Severance Agreement.

Under the terms of the Wagner Severance Agreement, in the event that Mr. Wagner's employment is terminated due to his death or disability, Mr. Wagner would be entitled to receive:

- (i) all earned but unpaid compensation through the date of termination;
- (ii) a lump sum payment consisting of the pro-rated amount of his target annual bonus for the year in which termination occurs; and
- (iii) accelerated vesting of previously awarded shares of restricted stock and vesting of future equity awards only in accordance with the applicable plan or award agreement.

If Mr. Wagner's employment is terminated by the Company without cause at any time, or if Mr. Wagner terminates his employment for good reason either on or before December 31, 2016 or within two (2) years of a change in control, then Mr. Wagner would be entitled to receive:

- (i) all earned but unpaid compensation through the date of termination;
- (ii) an aggregate, lump sum cash payment equal to the sum of Mr. Wagner's base salary immediately prior to the termination date, plus his target annual bonus for the year that includes the termination date, multiplied by one (1.0);
- (iii) accelerated vesting of previously awarded shares of restricted stock and vesting of future equity awards only in accordance with the applicable plan or award agreement; and
- (iv) the continuation of health insurance coverage for a period of 18 months.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change In Control

The following table sets forth information regarding amounts payable to Messrs. Rudin and DeMarco pursuant to the Rudin and DeMarco Employment Agreements. Information regarding amounts payable to Mr. Tycher are pursuant to the Tycher Employment Agreement as if such agreement had been in effect on December 31, 2015. Information regarding Messrs. Krug and Wagner is pursuant to the Krug Severance Agreement and the Wagner Severance Agreement. The actual severance paid to Mr. Hersh in connection with his separation from the Company in June 2015 pursuant to his Separation and General Release Agreement dated November 4, 2014 was disclosed in the Company's definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A in connection with the Company's 2015 Annual Meeting of stockholders as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 13, 2015 and incorporated herein by reference. Except for the termination events set forth in the table

below, as of December 31, 2015 the named executive officers were not entitled to any other payments upon a change in control.

Name	Payments upon termination by Company without cause or by executive for good reason(1)	Payment upon termination due to death or disability(1)	Payments upon termination by the Company without cause or by the executive for good reason within two years of a change in control(1)
Mitchell E. Rudin Chief Executive Officer	\$ 5,803,377(2)	\$ 3,673,004(3)	\$ 5,803,377(2)
Michael J. DeMarco President and Chief Operating Officer	\$ 5,814,345(2)	\$ 3,673,004(3)	\$ 5,814,345(2)
Marshall Tycher Chairman, Roseland Residential Trust	\$ 1,355,888(4)	\$ 1,030,409(5)	\$ 1,355,888(4)
Anthony Krug Chief Financial Officer	\$ 1,190,212(6)	\$ 417,371(7)	\$ 1,190,212(6)
Gary T. Wagner Chief Legal Officer and Secretary	\$ 611,196(8)	\$ 244,855(9)	\$ 611,396(8)

- (1) The terms "cause," "good reason" (which includes without limitation a termination by the Company within two (2) years of a change in control), "disability" and "change in control" have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the employment agreements of Messrs. Rudin, DeMarco and Tycher and the Krug Severance Agreement and the Wagner Severance Agreement, as applicable. The change in control severance benefits are only payable to Mr. Tycher if his employment is terminated within six months of a change in control pursuant to the Tycher Employment Agreement.
- (2) Amount includes (i) an aggregate cash payment of \$2,100,000, (ii) immediate vesting of 19,194 RSUs valued at \$448,180, (iii) immediate vesting of 200,000 Time Vesting Options valued at \$1,208,000, (iv) the continuation of health insurance coverage for a period of 18 months valued at approximately \$30,373 for Mr. Rudin and \$41,341 for Mr. DeMarco, and (v) immediate vesting of 86,373.6 PSUs valued at \$2,016,824, consisting of (a) 56,325.82 PSUs granted at target performance level (100%) on June 5, 2015 (the "Target PSUs"), (b) 1,256.58 accrued dividend equivalent PSUs issued in respect of the Target PSUs, (c) an additional 28,162.91 PSUs issuable upon a termination as of December 31, 2015 based on achievement of the maximum performance level (150%) as of such date (the "Maximum Performance PSUs"), and (d) 628.29 dividend equivalent PSUs issuable in respect of the Maximum Performance PSUs.
- (3) Amount includes (i) immediate vesting of 19,194 RSUs valued at \$448,180, (ii) immediate vesting of 200,000 Time Vesting Options valued at \$1,208,000, and (iii) immediate vesting of 86,373.6 PSUs valued at \$2,016,824, consisting of (a) 56,325.82 PSUs granted at target performance level (100%) on June 5, 2015 (the "Target PSUs"), (b) 1,256.58 accrued dividend equivalent PSUs issued in respect of the Target PSUs, (c) an additional 28,162.91 PSUs issuable upon a termination as of December 31, 2015 based on achievement of the maximum performance level (150%) as of such date (the "Maximum Performance PSUs"), and (d) 628.29 dividend equivalent PSUs issuable in respect of the Maximum Performance PSUs.
- (4) Amount includes: (i) a lump sum cash payment of \$1,000,000; (ii) annual base salary of \$325,479 through the end of the unexpired employment term of October 23, 2016 based on Mr. Tycher's base salary as of December 31, 2015; and (iii) the continuation of health insurance coverage for a period of 18 months valued at approximately \$30,409.

- (5) Amount includes: (i) a lump sum cash payment of \$1,000,000; and (ii) the continuation of health insurance coverage for a period of 18 months valued at approximately \$30,409.
- (6) Amount includes: (i) an aggregate cash payment of \$1,039,500; (ii) immediate vesting of 4,684 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock valued at \$109,371; and (iii) the continuation of health insurance coverage for a period of 18 months valued at approximately \$41,341.
- (7) Amount includes: (i) an aggregate cash payment of \$308,000 and (ii) immediate vesting of 4,684 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock valued at \$109,371.
- (8) Amount includes: (i) an aggregate cash payment of \$487,500; (ii) immediate vesting of 3,527 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock valued at \$82,355; and (iii) the continuation of health insurance coverage for a period of 18 months valued at approximately \$41,341.
- (9) Amount includes: (i) an aggregate cash payment of \$162,500; and (ii) immediate vesting of 3,527 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock valued at \$82,355.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Directors' Fees. In 2015, each non-employee director was paid an annual fee of \$60,000, plus \$1,500 for attendance at, or telephonic participation in, any board or committee meeting. The Chairmen of each of the Audit Committee, the Executive Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee were paid an additional annual fee of \$15,000 in 2015, and the Lead Independent Director was paid an additional annual fee of \$20,000 in 2015. The Company does not pay director fees to employee directors, who in fiscal 2015 consisted of Mitchell E. Hersh. Each director also was reimbursed for expenses incurred in attending board and committee meetings. For fiscal year 2015, the Company's non-employee directors received directors' fees or fee equivalents (see "Compensation of Directors—Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan" below) in the amounts set forth in the table below.

Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan. Pursuant to the Amended and Restated Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, originally effective as of January 1, 1999 (the "Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan"), each non-employee director is entitled to defer all or a specified portion of the annual fee to be paid to such director. The account of a director who elects to defer such compensation under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan is credited with the hypothetical number of stock units, calculated to the nearest thousandths of a unit, determined by dividing the amount of cash compensation deferred on the quarterly deferral date by the closing market price of the Common Stock on such quarterly deferral date. Any stock dividend declared by the Company on Common Stock results in a proportionate increase in units in the director's account as if such director held shares of Common Stock equal to the number of units in such director's account. Payment of a director's account may only be made in a lump sum in shares of Common Stock equal to the number of units in a director's account after either the director's service on the Board of Directors has terminated or there has been a change in control of the Company. In 2015, Nathan Gantcher, David S. Mack, Alan G. Philibosian, Vincent Tese and Roy J. Zuckerberg elected to receive a portion of their cash fees earned in 2015 in the form of deferred stock units. On December 9, 2008, the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan was amended and restated to conform to the requirements of Section 409A of the Code.

Directors' Stock Incentive Plans. The Company has one equity compensation plan pursuant to which equity compensation awards to non-employee members of the Board of Directors may be made: the 2013 Plan. For services rendered in 2015, each non-employee member of the Board of Directors was granted shares of restricted Common Stock with an approximate grant-date fair value of \$80,000 under the 2013 Plan. These restricted shares will vest on January 1, 2017.

2015 Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$(1)	Stock Awards \$(2)	Total(\$)
William L. Mack	88,500	80,008(3)	168,508
Alan S. Bernikow	117,500	80,008(4)	197,508
Kenneth M. Duberstein	82,500	80,008(5)	162,508
Nathan Gantcher	82,500	80,008(6)	162,508
Jonathan Litt	72,000	80,008(7)	152,008
David S. Mack	69,000	80,008(8)	149,008
Alan G. Philibosian	100,500	80,008(9)	180,508
Irvin D. Reid	73,500	80,008(10)	153,508
Vincent Tese	94,500	80,008(11)	174,508
Roy J. Zuckerberg	76,500	80,008(12)	156,508
TOTAL:	857,000	800,080	1,657,080

- (1) Of the cash fees earned or paid in 2015, the following amounts were paid in deferred stock units in lieu of cash pursuant to elections made by each such director: \$60,000, \$60,000, \$37,500, \$75,000 and \$60,000 for Messrs. Gantcher, Mack, Philibosian, Tese and Zuckerberg, respectively.
- (2) On March 8, 2016, each non-employee member of the Board of Directors was granted 3,687 shares of restricted Common Stock as compensation for services rendered in 2015. The grant date fair value of these shares calculated in accordance with ASC 718 was \$21.70 per share. For a discussion of the Company's assumptions and accounting treatment of its equity compensation awards, see Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies—Stock Compensation, to the Company's financial statements beginning on page 77 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.
- (3) As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Mack had 4,213 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock that vested on January 1, 2016, and 3,687 additional shares of restricted Common Stock awarded on March 8, 2016 for services rendered in 2015 that shall vest on January 1, 2017.
- (4) As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Bernikow had 4,213 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock that vested on January 1, 2016, and 3,687 additional shares of restricted Common Stock awarded on March 8, 2016 for services rendered in 2015 that shall vest on January 1, 2017.
- (5) As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Duberstein had 4,213 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock that vested on January 1, 2016, and 3,687 additional shares of restricted Common Stock awarded on March 8, 2016 for services rendered in 2015 that shall vest on January 1, 2017.
- (6) As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Gantcher had 4,213 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock that vested on January 1, 2016, and 3,687 additional shares of restricted Common Stock awarded on March 8, 2016 for services rendered in 2015 that shall vest on January 1, 2017.
- (7) As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Litt had 5,213 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock and unvested options to purchase 5,000 shares of Common Stock that all vested on

January 1, 2016, and 3,687 additional shares of restricted Common Stock awarded on March 8, 2016 for services rendered in 2015 that shall vest on January 1, 2017.

- (8) As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Mack had 4,213 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock that vested on January 1, 2016, and 3,687 additional shares of restricted Common Stock awarded on March 8, 2016 for services rendered in 2015 that shall vest on January 1, 2017.
- (9) As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Philibosian had 4,213 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock that vested on January 1, 2016, and 3,687 additional shares of restricted Common Stock awarded on March 8, 2016 for services rendered in 2015 that shall vest on January 1, 2017.
- (10) As of December 31, 2015, Dr. Reid had 4,213 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock that vested on January 1, 2016, and 3,687 additional shares of restricted Common Stock awarded on March 8, 2016 for services rendered in 2015 that shall vest on January 1, 2017.
- (11) As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Tese had 4,213 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock that vested on January 1, 2016, and 3,687 additional shares of restricted Common Stock awarded on March 8, 2016 for services rendered in 2015 that shall vest on January 1, 2017.
- (12) As of December 31, 2015, Mr. Zuckerberg had 4,213 unvested shares of restricted Common Stock that vested on January 1, 2016, and 3,687 additional shares of restricted Common Stock awarded on March 8, 2016 for services rendered in 2015 that shall vest on January 1, 2017.

Compensation Risk Assessment

In setting compensation, the Compensation Committee considers the risks to our stockholders and to achievement of our goals that may be inherent in our compensation programs. At the direction of the Compensation Committee, we conducted a risk assessment of our compensation programs, including our executive compensation programs. The Compensation Committee and its Compensation Consultant reviewed and discussed the findings of this assessment and concluded that our compensation programs are designed with the appropriate balance of risk and reward in relation to our overall business strategy and do not incent employees to take unnecessary or excessive risks. Although a significant portion of our executive's compensation is performance-based and "at-risk," we believe our executive compensation plans are appropriately structured and are not reasonably likely to result in a material adverse effect on the Company. We considered the following elements of our executive compensation plans and policies when evaluating whether such plans and policies encourage our executives to take unreasonable risks:

- We set performance criteria that we believe are reasonable in light of past performance and market conditions, and we use a variety of performance metrics (including, without limitation, TSR, Core FFO, Year-end percent leased of office properties, disposition volume of office properties, multi-family residential development volume and occupancy, and capital raising levels at Roseland) that we believe correlate to long-term creation of stockholder value and that are affected by management decisions;
- Our executive compensation program includes an appropriate balance of fixed versus variable pay, cash versus equity, and short-term versus long-term incentive compensation elements.
- We provide a significant portion of long-term incentive compensation in the form of PSUs in 2015 and LTIP Units under our 2016 OPP. The amounts ultimately earned under the awards are tied to how we perform over a three year measurement period based on attainment of absolute and relative TSR performance, which focuses management on sustaining our long-term performance;
- Assuming achievement of at least a minimum level of performance, payouts under our performance-based awards have a range of payout opportunity and may result in some compensation at levels below full target achievement, rather than an "all-or-nothing" approach; and
- The Compensation Committee considers non-financial and other qualitative performance factors in determining actual compensation payouts.

In sum, we believe our executive compensation program is structured so that (i) we maintain a conservative risk profile that maintains the Company's low leverage and aims to achieve strong stockholder returns and long-term results; (ii) we avoid the type of disproportionately large short-term incentives that could encourage executives to take risks that may not be in our long-term interests; (iii) we provide incentives to manage for long-term performance; and (iv) a considerable amount of wealth of our executives is tied to our long-term success. We believe this combination of factors encourages our executives to manage the Company in a prudent manner. The Compensation Committee specifically considered compensation risk implications during its deliberations on the 2015 bonuses and 2016 performance metrics for all executive officers.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes information, as of December 31, 2015, relating to equity compensation plans of the Company (including individual compensation arrangements) pursuant to which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance.

<u>Plan Category</u>	<u>Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Rights</u>	<u>Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options and Rights</u>	<u>Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a))</u>
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Stockholders	1,056,213(2)	\$ 17.33(3)	3,416,581
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Stockholders(1)	178,039	N/A	N/A(4)
Total	1,234,252	N/A	3,416,581

- (1) The only plan included in the table that was adopted without stockholder approval was the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, the material features of which are summarized under "Compensation of Directors—Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan."
- (2) Includes 98,669 shares of unvested restricted common stock, 805,000 unvested options, 38,136 unvested restricted stock units (RSUs), including unvested dividend equivalents thereon, and 114,408 unvested performance share units (PSUs), including unvested dividend equivalents thereon.
- (3) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options; excludes restricted Common Stock, RSUs and PSUs.
- (4) The Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan does not limit the number of stock units issuable thereunder, but applicable SEC and NYSE rules restricted the aggregate number of stock units issuable thereunder to one percent (1%) of the Company's outstanding shares when the plan commenced on January 1, 1999.

PROPOSAL NO. 2
NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following proposal gives our stockholders the opportunity to vote to approve or not approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and "Executive Compensation" sections of this proxy statement. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and our compensation philosophy, policies and practices with respect to our named executive officers. We are providing this vote as required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act, which was added to the Exchange Act by Section 951 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010. We provide this vote annually based on an election may by our stockholders at our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, and we will ask our stockholders to vote on the frequency of this advisory vote again at our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders. The Board of Directors believes that the overall design and function of the Company's executive compensation program is appropriate and effective in aligning the interests of management and the Company's stockholders and that management is properly incentivized to manage the Company in a prudent manner. Accordingly, we are asking our stockholders to vote "FOR" the adoption of the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the stockholders advise that they approve the compensation of the named executive officers of the Company, as disclosed in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and "Executive Compensation" sections of this proxy statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (which disclosure shall include the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables, and any related material)."

Although the vote is non-binding, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee will review the voting results in connection with their ongoing evaluation of the Company's executive compensation program. Broker non-votes (as described under the "*Solicitation and Voting Procedures—Voting Procedure*" section on page 3 of this proxy statement) are not entitled to vote on these proposals and will not be counted in evaluating the results of such vote.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE RESOLUTION SET FORTH ABOVE.

**PROPOSAL NO. 3
RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC
ACCOUNTING FIRM**

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP served as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, and has been appointed by the Audit Committee to continue as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016. In the event that ratification of this appointment of the Company's independent registered public accountants is not approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of votes cast on the matter, then the appointment of the Company's independent registered public accountants will be reconsidered by the Audit Committee. Unless marked to the contrary, proxies received will be voted for ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.

A representative of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. The representative will have an opportunity to make a statement and will be able to respond to appropriate questions.

Your ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016 does not preclude the Board of Directors from terminating its engagement of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and retaining a new independent registered public accounting firm if it determines that such an action would be in the best interests of the Company. If the Company elects to retain a new independent registered public accounting firm, such independent registered public accountants will be another "Big 4" accounting firm.

The Company was billed for professional services rendered in 2015 by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the details of which are disclosed below.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Pursuant to its charter, the Audit Committee has the sole authority to appoint or replace the Company's independent registered public accountants (subject, if applicable, to stockholder ratification). The Audit Committee is directly responsible for the compensation and oversight of the work of the Company's independent registered public accountants (including resolution of disagreements between management and the Company's independent registered public accountants regarding financial reporting) for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or related work. The Company's independent registered public accountants are engaged by, and report directly to, the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee pre-approves all auditing services and permitted non-audit services (including the fees and terms thereof) to be performed for the Company by its independent registered public accountants, subject to the *de minimis* exceptions for non-audit services described in Section 10A(i)(1)(B) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X, all of which are approved by the Audit Committee prior to the completion of the audit. In the event pre-approval for such auditing services and permitted non-audit services cannot be obtained as a result of inherent time constraints in the matter for which such services are required, the Chairman of the Audit Committee has been granted the authority to pre-approve such services, provided that the estimated cost of such services on each such occasion does not exceed \$125,000, and the Chairman of the Audit Committee reports for ratification such pre-approval to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee has complied with the procedures set forth above, and has otherwise complied with the provisions of its charter.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees and expenses incurred by the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries for fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for professional services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in connection with (i) the audit of the Company's annual financial statements; (ii) the review of the financial statements included in the Company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30; (iii) consents and comfort letters issued in connection with debt and equity offerings and registration statements; and (iv) services provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, including attestation services required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, were \$1,198,600 and \$1,414,140, respectively.

Audit-Related Fees

For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company did not incur any audit-related fees by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees incurred by the Company for fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for professional services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning were \$212,000 and \$224,666, respectively. These services consisted of reviewing the Company's tax returns.

All Other Fees

There were no fees or expenses incurred by the Company for fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 for other services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

Vote Required and Board of Directors' Recommendation

Assuming a quorum is present, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, either in person or by proxy, is required for approval of this proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE *FOR* RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2016.

**PROPOSAL NO. 4
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL**

Graphic Communications Conferences IBT Benevolent Trust Fund U.S. (the "Proponent"), 25 Louisiana Ave., N.W., Washington D.C. 20001-2198, owner of 405 shares of the Company's Common Stock, has notified the Company of its intention to introduce the following proposal at the Annual Meeting. The proposal and the Proponent's supporting statement, for which the Board of Directors and the Company accept no responsibility, appear below in italics.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY OPPOSES ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSAL AND ASKS STOCKHOLDERS TO REVIEW THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS' RESPONSE, WHICH FOLLOWS THE PROPOSAL AND THE PROPONENT'S SUPPORTING STATEMENT.

"RESOLVED, The shareholders ask the board of directors of Mack-Cali Realty to adopt a policy that in the event of change in control (as defined under any applicable employment agreement, equity incentive plan or other plan), there shall be no acceleration of vesting of any equity award granted to any senior executive officer, provided, however, that the board's Compensation Committee may provide in an applicable grant or purchase agreement that any unvested award will vest on a partial, pro rata basis up to the time of the senior executive officer's termination, with such qualifications for an award as the Committee may determine.

For purposes of this Policy, "equity award" means an award granted under an equity incentive plan as defined in Item 402 of the SEC's Regulation S-K, which addresses elements of executive compensation to be disclosed to shareholders. This resolution shall be implemented so as not to affect any contractual rights in existence on the date this proposal is adopted, and it shall apply only to equity awards made under equity incentive plans or plan amendments that shareholders approve after the date of the 2016 annual meeting."

Supporting Statement

A similar proposal received a vote in favor of 45.2 percent at last year's annual meeting.

Mack-Cali Realty ("Company") allows senior executives to receive an accelerated award of unearned equity under certain conditions after a change of control of the Company. We do not question that some form of severance payments may be appropriate in that situation. We are concerned, however, that current practices at the Company may permit windfall awards that have nothing to do with an executive's performance.

According to last year's proxy statement, the former President and CEO Mitchell E. Hersh received a severance package of nearly \$16 million that included more than \$5 million in accelerated vesting. In addition, two other executives would be entitled to an additional \$1.78 million in accelerated vesting had a termination and change in control occurred on December 31, 2014.

We are unpersuaded by the argument that executives somehow "deserve" to receive unvested awards. To accelerate the vesting of unearned equity on the theory that an executive was denied the opportunity to earn those shares seems inconsistent with a "pay for performance" philosophy worthy of the name.

We do believe, however, that an affected executive should be eligible to receive an accelerated vesting of equity awards, on a pro rata basis as of his or her termination date, with the details of any pro rata award to be determined by the Compensation Committee.

Other major corporations, including: Apple, Chevron, ExxonMobil, IBM, Intel, Microsoft, and Occidental Petroleum, have limitations on accelerated vesting of unearned equity, such as providing pro rata awards or simply forfeiting unearned awards. Research from James Reda & Associates found that over one third of the largest 200 companies now pro rate, forfeit, or only partially vest performance shares upon a change of control.

We urge you to vote FOR this proposal.

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation's Response to Shareholder Proposal

We recommend that you vote "AGAINST" Proposal No. 4. The Board is committed to a thoughtful and disciplined application of all elements of the Company's executive compensation program. Consistent with this commitment, the Board of Directors has determined for many years that these objectives are best-implemented in regard to unvested equity compensation awards and a potential change in control by providing for the acceleration of vesting of outstanding equity compensation awards upon a change in control. Accordingly, the Board of Directors recommends that you vote AGAINST Proposal No. 4 for the reasons discussed below.

The Proposal Would Limit the Company's Ability to Attract and Retain Executive Talent

Many public companies do not prohibit accelerated vesting of equity awards in connection with a change in control. Accordingly, restricting the judgment of the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee and adopting the Proponent's one-size-fits-all policy required by Proposal No. 4 could place us at a competitive disadvantage in attracting and retaining key executives, particularly if a change in control transaction is pending or contemplated, thereby jeopardizing our long-term performance. Retaining key executives while a change in control transaction is pending can be particularly important, since the loss of such executives could adversely affect the Company's business or operations if the transaction is not completed.

An Independent Compensation Committee Should be Permitted to Properly Exercise its Fiduciary Duties

The Board of Directors believes that the Compensation Committee, which is composed entirely of independent directors, should have the autonomy to develop the details of an executive compensation program that the Committee members, in the exercise of their fiduciary duties, feel is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. The inflexible policy that would be imposed by the proposal should not displace the careful and well-informed business judgment of a Compensation Committee that is deeply familiar with calibrating the consistent application of the Company's executive compensation philosophies across the various components of the Company's executive compensation program.

Stockholder and Employee Interests Should Be Aligned

The Board of Directors is concerned that pro-rata vesting might bias employees against an otherwise beneficial change in control transaction by forcing the employees to face the prospect of losing their previously granted equity compensation awards. In contrast, preventing the loss of an executive's incentive compensation where awards are not assumed or continued, or where the employee is terminated without cause upon a change in control aligns the interests of employees and stockholders by providing employees an equitable opportunity to realize along with the shareholders a portion of the enterprise value created prior to and in connection with the change in control transaction. That value is primarily attributable to the talents and dedicated efforts of the Company's employees, and the Board of Directors strongly disagrees with the assertion in the proposal that the sharing of a portion of this value would somehow be a "windfall" to Company employees who hold unvested equity compensation. The terms and conditions of the employment, severance and equity compensation award agreements for our current executive officers require a "double trigger" for vesting in the event of a change-in-control, meaning that awards are accelerated only if a change-in-control occurs *and*, within twenty-four months, an executive officer's employment is terminated by the Company for a reason other than death, disability or cause. This is in contrast to a "single trigger" provision that would accelerate equity awards only upon a change-in-control event. The Board believes that our current

practice of double trigger acceleration of awards in these circumstances correctly aligns the interests of our executives with the interests of our stockholders in the context of a change-in-control.

Stockholders Benefit from Employees Having a Strong Incentive to Remain with the Company in Uncertain Times

It is the view of the Board of Directors that retaining key employees during the uncertainty of a pending change in control transaction is particularly important. If the transaction is to continue to completion, then their efforts are needed to maintain stability and the Company's financial performance so that the potential transaction can advance in a manner that will serve the best interests of the Company's stockholders. If the proposed transaction is not completed, then the efforts of these key employees will be critical in helping the Company return its focus to ordinary business operations and its strategic growth plan. Executives who fear that they will lose all or a portion of their awards in connection with a change in control would have less incentive to remain with the Company during such period of uncertainty, which would reduce the value of the Company to a potential acquirer. The Board of Directors believes that providing some form of accelerated vesting upon a change in control is important in attracting and retaining key employees, which in turn is critical to preserving and maximizing shareholder value.

The Company Believes the Proposal is Not Consistent with Market Practices

Because Proposal No. 4 is inconsistent with general market practices, implementation of the proposal would hinder the Company's ability to attract and retain qualified executives and create misalignment between executives' interests and those of stockholders. Therefore, Proposal No. 4 is not in the best interests of the stockholders. Equity compensation practices are constantly changing, and maintaining flexibility with respect to evolving executive compensation practices among the Company's peer REITs is essential for the Company to attract and retain executives and other key personnel.

The Company's Stockholders Voted Against This Same Proposal at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

The Company received substantially the same proposal from the proponent at its 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and approximately 54.8% of votes cast at the 2015 annual meeting were voted against the proposal. The Company conducted a stockholder outreach program in 2015. As a result, the Board of Directors and Compensation Committee determined that single trigger change in control payout provisions under the employment agreements from 1999 and 2001 of the Company's former management team that left the Company in 2014 and 2015 were disfavored. As noted above, all of the agreements with the Company's current executive officers, all of which have been entered into in 2015, are subject to double-trigger vesting in the event of a change in control in conformity with what the Board of Directors believes to be best market practices and consistent with the discussions with stockholders as part of the 2015 outreach.

Summary

In conclusion, the Board of Directors firmly believes that having the flexibility to provide for the vesting of equity compensation awards upon a change in control accurately aligns stockholder and employee interests and provides employees with a strong incentive consistent with market practice to remain with the Company while the Company is considering a change in control transaction. The Board of Directors also asks that stockholders be appropriately respectful of the independent directors' business judgment in determining the details of the Company's executive compensation program.

Vote Required and Board of Directors' Recommendation

Assuming a quorum is present, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on this proposal at the Annual Meeting is required for approval of this Proposal No. 4. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE *AGAINST* THE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL.

SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

The Company intends to hold its 2017 annual meeting of stockholders on or about May 31, 2017. To be considered for inclusion in the Company's notice of annual meeting and proxy statement for, and for presentation at, the annual meeting of the Company's stockholders to be held in 2017, a stockholder proposal must be received by Gary T. Wagner, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, 343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206, no later than January 3, 2017, and must otherwise comply with applicable rules and regulations of the SEC, including Rule 14a-8 of Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

The Company's Bylaws require advance notice of any proposal by a stockholder intended to be presented at an annual meeting that is not included in the Company's notice of annual meeting and proxy statement because it was not timely submitted under the preceding paragraph, or made by or at the direction of any member of the Board of Directors, including any proposal for the nomination for election as a director. To be considered for such presentation at the annual meeting of the Company's stockholders to be held on or about May 31, 2017, any such stockholder proposal must be received by Gary T. Wagner, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, no earlier than February 1, 2017 and no later than March 3, 2017, and discretionary authority may be used if untimely submitted.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K


The Company will furnish without charge to each person whose proxy is being solicited, upon the written request of any such person, a copy of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, as filed with the SEC, including the financial statements and schedules thereto. Requests for copies of such Annual Report on Form 10-K should be directed to Gary T. Wagner, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, 343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206.

OTHER MATTERS

The Board of Directors knows of no other business which will be presented at the Annual Meeting. If any other business is properly brought before the Annual Meeting, it is intended that proxies authorized pursuant to this Proxy Statement will be voted in respect thereof and in accordance with the judgments of the persons voting the proxies.

It is important that the proxies be returned promptly and that your shares be represented. Stockholders are urged to mark, date, execute and promptly return the accompanying proxy card in the enclosed envelope or to promptly authorize a proxy to vote your shares by internet or telephone in accordance with the instructions on the accompanying proxy card.

By Order of the Board of Directors,



Gary T. Wagner

Chief Legal Officer and Secretary

If you have questions or need assistance voting your shares please contact:

***MACKENZIE
PARTNERS, INC.***

105 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10016
proxy@mackenziepartners.com
Call Collect: (212) 929-5500
or
Toll-Free (800) 322-2885



IMPORTANT ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION 000004

ENDORSEMENT LINE SACKPACK



MR A SAMPLE DESIGNATION (IF ANY) ADD 1 ADD 2 ADD 3 ADD 4 ADD 5 ADD 6



C123456789 00000000.000000 ext 00000000.000000 ext 00000000.000000 ext 00000000.000000 ext

Electronic Voting Instructions

Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the internet or telephone must be received by 1:00 a.m., Central Time, on June 1, 2016.



Vote by Internet

- Go to www.investorvote.com/CLI
Or scan the QR code with your smartphone
Follow the steps outlined on the secure website

Vote by telephone

- Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the USA, US territories & Canada on a touch tone telephone
Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message

Using a black ink pen, mark your votes with an X as shown in this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas. X

Annual Meeting Proxy Card 1234 5678 9012 345

IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

A Proposals - The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR all the nominees listed in Proposal 1, FOR Proposals 2 and 3 and AGAINST Proposal 4.

Voting instructions for various proposals including Election of Directors, Advisory vote on compensation, and Approval of a shareholder proposal.

B Authorized Signatures - This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. - Date and Sign Below

Please sign exactly as your name or names appear on the records of the Company and date. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee, guardian or corporate officer give full title.

Signature fields and date input area.

IF VOTING BY MAIL, YOU MUST COMPLETE SECTIONS A - C ON BOTH SIDES OF THIS CARD.

Barcode, tracking information (C 1234567890 JNT 1UPX 2763021), and reference code (MR A SAMPLE).

▼ IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. ▼

Proxy — MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION

**THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

The undersigned hereby appoint(s) Mitchell E. Rudin, Michael J. DeMarco, Anthony Krug and Gary T. Wagner or any of them, lawful attorneys and proxies of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (the "Company") to be held at the Hyatt Regency Jersey City on the Hudson, Harborside, 2 Exchange Place, Jersey City, New Jersey 07302-3901, on Wednesday, June 1, 2016, at 2:00 p.m., local time, and any adjournment(s) or postponement(s) thereof, with all powers the undersigned would possess if personally present, and to vote the number of shares the undersigned would be entitled to vote if personally present.

The undersigned hereby acknowledges receipt of the Notice of Annual Meeting and the related Proxy Statement dated April 29, 2016.

This proxy when properly executed will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned stockholder. If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted for proposal numbers 1, 2 and 3 and against proposal number 4. If any other matters should properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof this proxy will be voted in the discretion of the proxy holders. Any prior proxies are hereby revoked.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON June 1, 2016: Our Proxy Statement, the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Our Annual Report to Stockholders are available at <http://www.mack-cali.com/investors/company-filings-reports/>

PLEASE VOTE, DATE AND SIGN THIS PROXY ON THE OTHER SIDE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

C Non-Voting Items

Change of Address — Please print new address below.

Comments — Please print your comments below.



IF VOTING BY MAIL, YOU MUST COMPLETE SECTIONS A - C ON BOTH SIDES OF THIS CARD.

**QuickLinks**

[VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL HOLDERS](#)

[PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS](#)

[DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS](#)

[COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS](#)

[2016 Executive Officer Annual Bonus Program](#)

[2015 Equity Awards](#)

[2016 Equity Awards](#)

[2015 Former President and Chief Executive Officer Performance Metrics](#)

[2015 Former President and Chief Executive Officer Total Bonus Opportunity](#)

[EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION](#)

[Summary Compensation Table](#)

[Grants of Plan-Based Awards](#)

[Outstanding Equity Awards At Fiscal Year-End](#)

[Pension Benefits](#)

[Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation](#)

[Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change In Control](#)

[COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS](#)

[2015 Director Compensation](#)

[Compensation Risk Assessment](#)

[Equity Compensation Plan Information](#)

[PROPOSAL NO. 2 NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION](#)

[PROPOSAL NO. 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM](#)

[PROPOSAL NO. 4 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL](#)

[Supporting Statement](#)

[Mack-Cali Realty Corporation's Response to Shareholder Proposal](#)

[SUBMISSION OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS](#)

[ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K](#)

[OTHER MATTERS](#)